# FINAL REPORT

# THE WINNIPEG WARDS BOUNDARIES COMMISSION

# **NOVEMBER 15, 2001**

### FINAL REPORT

### THE WINNIPEG WARDS BOUNDARIES COMMISSION

Members of the Commission:

**The Honourable Benjamin Hewak** Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Manitoba

> **Dr. Patrick Deane** Vice President (Academic) The University of Winnipeg

#### Mr. Richard Kachur Returning Officer

City of Winnipeg

Winnipeg, Manitoba November 15, 2001 November 15, 2001

Returning Officer City of Winnipeg

The Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission, appointed pursuant to Sections 5 and 6 of *The City of Winnipeg Act*, has the honour of submitting its Final Report. This report (as required by Section 6 (9) of *The City of Winnipeg Act*) establishes the boundaries and the name of each of the fifteen wards in the City. The ward boundaries and ward names come into force on September 25, 2002.

The Honourable Benjamin Hewak (Chair) Chief Justice Court of Queen's Bench of Manitoba

> Dr. Patrick Deane Vice-President (Academic) The University of Winnipeg

> > Mr. Richard Kachur Returning Officer City of Winnipeg

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	
FOREWORD	1
COMMISSION'S MANDATE	1
CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING NEW BOUNDARIES	2
Legislative Requirements	2
Population Quotient	3
Neighbourhood Characteristics	3
Neighbourhood Boundary Recommendations	4
PUBLIC HEARINGS	4
ISSUES AND CONCERNS	8
CONCLUSION	10
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10
APPENDICES	
Table A - Population and Deviations for Electoral Wards	11
Table B - Ward Population Statistics by Neighbourhood	
Table C - Descriptions of Electoral Ward Boundaries	19
Table D - Electoral Ward Names	
Table E - List of Presentations and Written Submissions	
Table F - Documentation Verifying the Use of 1996 Census Data	
Schedule A – Map	

### FOREWORD

In 1999, the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission was convened in accordance with the requirements of *The City of Winnipeg Act*. A final report was released in December of that year. The report contained numerous recommendations among which was a recommendation that the Province of Manitoba amend *The City of Winnipeg Act* to allow changes in the determination of wards. Specifically, the Commission requested that the percentage deviation from the mean ward population be increased from 10%, and that future Commissions be given the authority to determine the number of wards and communities, within a minimum and maximum. In the spring of 2001, the Province of Manitoba amended *The City of Winnipeg Act*, by increasing the percentage deviation from the mean ward population to 25%, and called for the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission to again convene and provide a report establishing boundaries and names for the City of Winnipeg's wards. Notably, the Province did not act on the Commission's recommendation to empower future Commissions to determine the number of wards and communities.

On June 28, 2001, the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission convened to determine its course of proceedings. During its meetings, members of the Commission reviewed their mandate and established a work plan that would encompass the design of preliminary ward options, establish public hearing dates, and provide for tabling the final Ward Boundaries Report with City Council by November 21, 2001. Public hearings for representation from interested individuals and organizations were held in five community locations to review two proposals identified as Proposal 1 and Proposal 2.

On October 8, 2001, the Commission produced its Interim Report, identifying and outlining two proposals for public consideration.

On October 30, 2001, the Commission held its final public hearing with respect to the proposed City of Winnipeg ward boundaries and names of each of the wards. As mandated by *The City of Winnipeg Act*, the purpose of the hearing was to provide the citizens of Winnipeg with the opportunity to respond to the proposals for ward boundaries and ward names as outlined in its Interim Report, dated October 8, 2001.

# **COMMISSION'S MANDATE**

The Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission is established pursuant to Sections 5 and 6 of *The City of Winnipeg Act.* The Commission consists of the Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Manitoba, the Vice President (Academic) of the University of Winnipeg and the Returning Officer of the City of Winnipeg.

The Commission's mandate is to submit to City Council, a report establishing the boundaries and the names of each of the 15 wards of the City of Winnipeg.

# **CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING NEW BOUNDARIES**

### **Legislative Requirements**

In establishing the ward boundaries, the Commission is governed by the following sections of *The Act:* 

#### Number of Wards

**5(1)** For electoral purposes, the City is divided into 15 wards.

#### **Report of Commission**

5(4) The Commission shall prepare a report establishing the boundaries and the name of each ward in the City at the following times:

- a) in the twelve month period prior to May 1, 2002
- a.1) in 2009
- b) whenever the boundaries of the City are altered;
- c) at such time as Council may require which shall be at least once every ten years after the preceding report was prepared.

#### **Population of Wards**

6(1) Subject to Subsection (3), each ward of the City shall, as nearly as is reasonably practicable, contain the same number of residents.

#### **Population Quotient**

6(2) For the purpose of preparing a report under subsection 5(4), the Commission shall establish a quotient for each ward in the city by dividing the total population of the city as determined by the latest census taken under *The Statistics Act* (Canada) by 15.

#### Criteria for boundaries

**6(3)** In fixing the boundaries of any Ward, the Commission must consider:

- a) the community or diversity of interests of the residents of the ward;
- b) the means of communication between the various parts of the ward;
- c) the physical features of the ward;
- d) all other similar and relevant factors;

and , to the extent possible, must include the whole area of a historic community or neighbourhood in the same ward.

#### Variation in Population base

6(3.1) The Commission may allow a variation of up to 25% more or less than the quotient in the population of a ward where in its opinion the considerations mentioned in subsection (3) make the variation desirable.

#### **Publication of notice**

- **6(7)** The returning officer shall cause to be published, in at least two newspapers having a general circulation in the city, once each week in two successive weeks with not more than seven days between each publication, a notice signed by the returning officer stating
  - (a) that a copy of the commission's report may be obtained from the returning officer at a place, at times, and in a manner stated in the notice; and
  - (b) that the commission will sit on a day, not earlier than 15 days after the first publication of the notice, and at a place and time, stated in the notice, to hear any person desiring to make a representation with respect to the commission's report.

#### Hearing

**6(8)** On the day and at the place and time stated in the notice, the commission shall sit and hear any person desiring to make a representation with respect to the commission's report.

#### Commission's duties on completion of hearing

6(9) On the completion of the hearing in subsection (8), the commission shall

- (a) prepare its report, which shall include the date on which the ward boundaries and ward names come into force; and
- (b) send the report to the city clerk, who shall ensure that it is placed before council at its next meeting.

#### **Effect of commission's report**

**6(9.1)** Ward boundaries and ward names in the report filed under subsection (9) come into force on the date specified in the report.

#### **Population Quotient**

Based on the latest Statistics Canada Census data (1996), the total population for the City of Winnipeg is 618,447. Applying the statutory formula, the population quotient for each ward is 41,232. Therefore, as required, the population of each ward cannot deviate by more or less than 25% of this number; each ward cannot be greater than 51,540 or less than 30,924.

#### **Neighbourhood Characteristics**

As with previous reviews, the technical staff advising the Commission used the Winnipeg Area Characterization Study. This Study divides the City into 231 small, homogeneous neighbourhood areas, each of which has been given a locally recognizable name. The Study is founded on the notion that neighbourhoods are basic "building blocks" from which the City is physically and socially constructed.

In the Study, physical and social characteristics, and trends and needs for each area are examined in relation to one another in order to determine how the City's neighbourhoods relate to each other. Identification of social elements such as population density and language, along with the historical, cultural and ethnic background of the residents has enabled the Planning, Property and Development Department of the City of Winnipeg to identify areas of growth, stability or decline throughout the City

#### **Neighbourhood Boundary Recommendations**

Based upon the 2001 ward boundary design, it was necessary to deviate from the defined neighbourhood boundaries of Dufferin (#3.301), Kirkfield (#2.216), and Woodhaven (#2.217). The neighbourhood of Dufferin (#3.301) crosses Arlington Street. As the common boundary between the wards of Mynarski and Point Douglas is Arlington Street, it was necessary to split the 1996 Census population contained in the neighbourhood of Dufferin (#3.301) into Dufferin East and Dufferin West. Dufferin East contained 1531 people and Dufferin West had 598 people within its redefined boundary. The Commission recommends that the City administration review these neighbourhood boundaries with a view to similar modification.

The common boundary between the wards of St. James and St. Charles had to be redesigned because it split a residential property. The Commission recommends that the existing boundary between the neighbourhoods of Kirkfield and Woodhaven be redesigned, as identified in the verbal description of the St. James and St. Charles wards contained within Table C of this report. This amendment does not affect the population contained in the Kirkfield and Woodhaven neighbourhoods.

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

The Commission made public two proposals (referred to as Proposal 1 and Proposal 2) for new ward boundaries on August 25, 2001. Maps of the proposed boundaries were circulated through the office of the City Clerk. The notice of the scheduled public hearings was published on August 25 and August 26, 2001 in the daily newspapers. Persons wishing to make representations were invited to do so either in person or in writing.

The Commission held public hearings at which interested citizens and organizations made submissions and commented on the proposed new ward boundaries.

MEETING LOCATION	DATES	NO. OF REPRESENTATIONS
2000 Portage Avenue	September 17, 2001	2
300 Assiniboine Avenue	September 25, 2001	8
1760 Main Street	September 26, 2001	1
755 Henderson Highway	October 1, 2001	5
604 St. Mary's Road	October 2, 2001	4

The meeting locations, dates and number of representations made were:

Simultaneous translation service was provided in French and English for the hearing which was held at 604 St. Mary's Road.

Initially, the Commission presented two ward boundary proposals for public discussion. As a result of input received during the initial public hearings, proposal two was amended to better reflect the former municipality of East Kildonan and the Elmwood area, and to accommodate concerns of the St. Vital area.

In summary:

- Proposal 1 represented the status quo and was the same as the existing set of ward boundaries originally adopted in 1992. Although the population contained in these ward boundary proposals was within 25% of the mean ward value, community of interest guidelines were difficult to satisfy and the sustainability of these boundaries beyond the 2002 civic election was questionable. This proposal was primarily favoured by a group of residents who did not want to lose the identity of the original municipality of Fort Garry. The Commission recognizes the concern of these citizens. However, based upon the fact that the legislated number of wards remained at fifteen, the Commission was unable to accommodate the interests of these individuals without negative tradeoffs occurring in the other wards surrounding this area.
- Proposal 2 changed the boundaries for fourteen out of 15 wards. Based upon recommendations put before the Commission during the initial set of public hearings, the wards of St. Norbert and St. Vital were revised to better accommodate community of interest guidelines. The area south of the Perimeter Highway and east of the Red River, known as St. Vital Perimeter South, was moved from St. Norbert to St. Vital. In order to accommodate the legislative guidelines pertaining to population density in these two wards, the neighbourhoods of Normand Park and River Park South, situated on the east side of the Red River, were retained in St. Norbert. The neighbourhood of Maple Grove Park was shifted into St. Vital.
- Proposal 2, as presented in the Interim Report, was favoured by those expressing the need to recognize the inner city by ensuring that three wards and two community committees share the downtown.
- Of the two proposals presented in the Interim Report, Proposal 2 was favoured by the largest number of delegations. This proposal received support for its attempt to satisfy the requirements of The Act in portraying major boundary shifts, to retain traditional municipal boundaries wherever possible, to ensure boundary changes in one ward did not have negative implications on surrounding wards, and to merge inner city and suburban interests.

The following information summarizes input obtained from the first public hearings in September and October, as well as written submissions presented to the Commission outside of the hearings:

1. Inner city/downtown focus: The downtown area should be represented by more than one ward.

- 2. Suburban focus: The boundaries should represent commonality of interest to all members of the community contained within the ward. This may be accomplished by avoiding river crossings wherever possible. Boundary design should avoid large, spread out wards, as such boundaries tend to alienate one area relative to another.
- 3. Retain traditional municipal boundaries wherever possible.
- 4. Request that the Province of Manitoba amend the legislation to provide for additional wards, the number of which to be determined by the Wards Boundaries Commission in developing the boundaries.

On October 8, 2001, the Commission released its Interim Report submitting two proposals, Proposal 1 and Proposal 2, for public review, and on October 30, the Commission held its final hearing in the Council Chamber at City Hall. The Commission heard 10 presenters. The following information summarizes input from the final public hearing:

#### 1. Ward population sustainability

Presenters expressed serious concern over the Commission's inability to establish a set of 15 ward boundaries that would remain sustainable beyond the short term. The public was well aware of the concerns relating to the fixed number of wards that hindered the Commission in its ward boundary delineation given population and housing growth in the City that had occurred since the 1996 Census.

A common theme expressed at the October 30th public hearing was to follow precedent established in other Canadian jurisdictions. Presenters suggested that Provincial legislation be proposed that would accommodate a range of wards between 15 and 18.

#### 2. Community of Interest

#### a) Fort Garry

Concern was expressed regarding the Commission's inability to effectively accommodate "commonality of interest" for all wards. This was particularly true for the portion of the City previously contained within the municipality of Fort Garry.

To acknowledge the Fort Garry community, a delegation at the public hearing suggested that the existing ward of River Heights be split down the middle, using Cambridge Street as the eastern boundary between Fort Rouge and River Heights. In so doing, the area between Cambridge and Stafford Street, north of the CNR mainline, would be shifted into Fort Rouge. The entire area south of the CNR line would then be placed into River Heights.

The suggestion would not only destroy the traditional ward boundaries of River Heights but would add approximately 10,000 people to the Fort Rouge, making it one of the largest in the City. The Commission deemed this to be a negative trade-off which it could not support, especially given that the proposed strategy would favour one community to the detriment of at least two others.

The Commission is of the opinion that there are community and population similarities between Fort Rouge and the neighbourhoods of Point Road, Wildwood and Crescent Park. With this grouping, the boundaries of traditional Fort Rouge and River Heights would be retained and the proposed three wards would feature a more reasonable and sustainable population base.

Notably, the Commission recognized that had it had the luxury of determining the number of wards, as recommended in its 1999 study, the addition of an additional ward would provide a solution to the Fort Garry problem.

#### b) Elmwood - East Kildonan

At its October 30 hearing, the Commission was presented with an alternate proposal for the wards of Elmwood-East Kildonan and North Kildonan. After deliberation, the Commission concurred that the neighbourhood of Kildonan Drive should form part of the North Kildonan ward, while the neighbourhoods of Valley Gardens and Eaglemere should form part of the Elmwood-East Kildonan ward.

#### c) Daniel McIntyre

The Commission heard a proposal to move the western boundary of the Daniel McIntyre ward further west to at least St. James Street. The Commission noted that although St. James Street had been a traditional municipality boundary, it did not concur in this recommendation, which would split a commercial community.

#### d) Charleswood - Tuxedo

The Commission heard a proposal to rename the Charleswood ward to Charleswood-Tuxedo. The Commission concurred with this suggestion, given that the proposed Charleswood ward did in fact contain the former municipality of Tuxedo.

#### 3. Inner City / Downtown Focus

A number of presenters focussed on inner city issues and the need to focus on the downtown. Though the Commission's proposal increased the number of wards containing downtown areas, from 2 wards to 3, it was suggested that having more than one community committee dealing with downtown issues was not a positive change.

The Commission noted that all ward Councillors must share the responsibility of addressing inner city issues and further noted that the City of Winnipeg's decision making process provided for final decision making by Council, and input from all members of Council. A suburban Councillor making a presentation to the Commission noted that "at least 85 percent of my time is spent on downtown issues". As such, it stood by its belief that having more than one community committee deal with inner city recommendations was in fact a positive step, which would amplify downtown interests prior to a Council decision.

#### 4. 1996 Census Data

The Commission heard representation which questioned the usage of the 1996 Census of Canada population data. It was suggested that 2001 Census population was available from Statistics Canada, and that it would be more appropriate to utilize the more recent data, in recognition of population shifts which had occurred since 1996.

Subsequently, a related request was made to Statistics Canada which advised that general 2001 census population data would not be available until March 12, 2002, and that small area or user defined data (neighbourhood characterization areas) would not be available until July 2002. (Statistics Canada documentation is found in Appendix F). The Commission noted that this timeframe was not in keeping with the prevailing legislation which required a final report by May 1, 2002.

Written submissions and summaries of the oral submissions are on file in the office of the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission, Council Building, and are available for inspection by the public.

A great deal of effort and care was taken by individuals and organizations in presenting their interests to the Boundaries Commission. The Commission commends those who submitted well documented written and oral presentations. Their efforts were greatly appreciated, and clearly assisted the Commission in determining its final set of 15 ward boundaries.

Throughout the hearing and submissions filed, it was clear to the Commission that Proposal 2 was, for the majority of presenters, preferred over Proposal 1.

## **ISSUES AND CONCERNS**

The mandate of the Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission in delineating boundaries is that each ward represent an equal number of residents within a 25% variation of the quotient established, and that the boundaries of the wards should respect historical community groupings, geographical features, community of interests and socio-economic needs of the citizens. However, throughout the public review process and the deliberations of the Commission, a number of issues and concerns regarding the legislative requirements emerged.

Although the Commission heard and acted upon a substantial number of public concerns expressed throughout the process, there always appeared to be an area of concern related to commonality of interest and traditional municipal boundaries that was impossible to resolve because of the 15 ward requirement. In completing its mandate, the 2001 Commission reviewed the work of the 1991-92 and 1999 Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commissions. It has become apparent that some of the issues and concerns that evolved during the previous reviews emerged again in 2001. These issues directly affected the process of delineating the City's electoral ward boundaries.

Some of the recommendations of the 1992 and 1999 Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commissions were adopted, whereas others were not. Therefore, this Commission finds itself in a similar set of circumstances as that of the previous Commission. As the primary objective of the Commission is to design wards that will be used to equitably distribute Winnipeg's population for the next municipal

election, sustainability is a critical element that should be an integral part of the Commission's mandate. New ward boundaries should not be outdated prior to the election that they have been designed to accommodate.

Although the legislation ensures an equitable distribution of the City's population, as of the most upto-date Census of Canada, the Commission is unable to accommodate sustainability of all wards in the city over the long term. Recent changes made to the legislation have increased the percentage deviation from the mean ward population value from 10% to 25%. While this amendment has improved the long term sustainability of the majority of wards, there are still a number of areas throughout the city, in which the Commission was unable to accomplish this objective. As is evident from the final set of ward boundaries being presented to City Council, certain wards which have traditionally experienced population decline are close to the minimum allowed.

While the 2001 Commission acknowledges the positive direction taken by the government in recent legislative amendments, it is also reaffirmed that:

# • future boundaries commissions should be provided with the scope to delineate boundaries on the basis of a range of wards, in terms of a minimum and maximum number of electoral wards.

As originally identified in the final report of the1999 Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission, the leading Canadian jurisprudence on polling district distribution is the decision of the Supreme Court of Canada in <u>Reference re: Electoral Boundaries Commission Act</u> (1991), 81 D.L.R. (4th) 16 (the <u>Carter</u> decision). That case dealt with provincial electoral boundaries in Saskatchewan. The significance of that jurisprudence is worth repeating here. The majority decision was delivered by McLachlin, J. who found that the Canadian electoral system was rooted in the tradition of effective representation and not on the American tradition of absolute or near absolute voter parity. On Page 35-36 of the decision, she said:

"What are the conditions of effective representation? The <u>first</u> is relative parity of voting power. A system which dilutes one citizen's vote unduly as compared with another citizen's vote runs the risk of providing inadequate representation to the citizen whose vote is diluted. The legislative power of the citizen whose vote is diluted will be reduced, as may access to and assistance from his or her representative. The result will be uneven and unfair representation. (emphasis added)

But parity of voting power, though of prime importance, is not the only factor to be taken into account in ensuring effective representation.

Factors like geography, community history, community interests and minority representation may need to be taken into account to ensure that our legislative assemblies effectively represent the diversity of our social mosaic."

# CONCLUSION

In making its final decision, the Commission respectfully submits a proposal which delineates ward boundaries that meet the existing legislative requirements. Although the Commission has been unable to satisfy all concerns expressed, the proposal comes as close as possible, under the existing mandate, to establishing ward boundaries that equitably distribute the most up-to-date Census of Canada (1996 Census) population into 15 municipal wards.

Pursuant to Section 6(9) of *The City of Winnipeg Act*, the names of the wards and the boundaries of the City that comprise those wards are as set out in Schedule A. These boundaries and ward names come into force on September 25, 2002.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Commission gratefully acknowledges the assistance of its technical advisors in the preparation of the Final Report. In particular, the Commission wishes to express its appreciation to Larry Loreth, Coordinator, Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission, and the following City of Winnipeg staff: André Lederer, GIS Analyst, Corporate Services Department; Brian Lund, Mike Jacoby and Rick Enns, Planning, Property and Development Department; Majella Boissonneault, Louise Garand and Joanne Peloquin, Translation Services Branch, Corporate Services Department; Becky Jacobs, Corporate Services Department; Carol Freeman, Diane Timmins, Inga Skundberg, Josie Marques, Marc Pittet, Fred Young and Krista Kunz, City Clerk's Department.

# TABLE A

POPULATION AND DEVIATIONS FOR ELECTORAL WARDS		
WARD	1996 POPULATION (CENSUS)	% DEVIATION FROM QUOTIENT
Old Kildonan	41,316	0.2
Mynarski	41,078	-0.4
Point Douglas	43,184	4.7
Daniel McIntyre	45,079	9.3
St. James	30,976	-24.9
St. Charles	32,842	-20.3
Charleswood - Tuxedo	40,805	-1.0
River Heights-Fort Garry	44,677	8.4
Fort Rouge	45,662	10.7
St. Norbert	46,101	11.8
St. Vital	48,005	16.4
St. Boniface	44,974	9.1
Transcona	32,874	-20.3
Elmwood-East Kildonan	42,989	4.3
North Kildonan	37,915	-8.0
City Total	618,477	

# TABLE B

# WARD POPULATION STATISTICS BY NEIGHBOURHOOD

REDISTRICT	NEIGHBOURHOOD	NCA_NO	A1996
OLD KILDONAN	Amber Trails	3.337	469
A	Garden City	3.316	5715
A	Inkster Gardens	3.319	3272
A	Leila - McPhillips Triangle	3.320	2919
A	Leila North	3.326	187
A	Mandalay West	3.321	4667
A	North Inkster Industrial	3.327	0
A	Riverbend	3.323	3072
A	Rivergrove	3.322	907
A	Rosser - Old Kildonan	3.335	662
A	Templeton - Sinclair	3.324	5061
A	The Maples	3.317	14381
A	West Kildonan Industrial	3.333	4
TOTAL Old Kildonan			41316
MYNARSKI	Dufferin East	3.301	1531
В	Dufferin Industrial	3.328	137
В	Inkster - Faraday	3.308	4098
В	Jefferson	3.309	8329
В	Kildonan Park	3.336	0
В	Lord Selkirk Park	3.339	1100
В	Luxton	3.305	2662
В	Margaret Park	3.318	2289
В	North Point Douglas	3.311	2895
В	Seven Oaks	3.314	3120
		3.306	8098
В	St. John's	3.300	0030
<u>В</u>	St. John's St. John's Park	3.313	588

POINT DOUGLAS	Burrows - Keewatin	3.307	2787
С	Burrows Central	3.303	4805
С	Centennial	3.102	2209
С	Dufferin West	3.301	598
С	Inkster Industrial Park	3.329	3
С	Logan -CPR	3.101	434
С	Main Street North*	7.000	475
С	Mynarski	3.310	1253
С	Oak Point Highway	3.338	27
С	Old Financial District*	9.000	240
С	Pacific Industrial	3.121	29
С	Robertson	3.312	4052
С	Shaughnessy Park	3.315	2274
С	South Point Douglas	3.123	398
С	Tyndall Park	3.325	13504
С	West Alexander	3.105	4414
С	Weston	3.114	5662
С	Weston Shops	3.334	20
TOTAL Point Douglas			43184
DANIEL MCINTYRE	Colony	1.167	662
D	Daniel McIntyre	1.108	9887
D	Minto	1.115	5687
D	North Portage*	8.000	4468
D	Sargent Park	1.118	5904
D	Spence	1.104	3941
D	St. Matthews	1.112	6364
D	Wolseley	1.113	8166
TOTAL Daniel McIntyre			45079

ST. JAMES	Airport	2.219	431
E	Birchwood	2.207	1909
E	Booth	2.208	5677
E	Brooklands	3.124	2435
E	Bruce Park	2.204	2138
E	Deer Lodge	2.205	4184
E	Jameswood	2.213	1575
E	Kensington	2.201	285
E	King Edward	2.203	5762
E	Murray Industrial Park	2.220	3
E	Omand's Creek Industrial	3.225	4
E	Polo Park	2.125	280
E	Silver Heights	2.215	5129
E	St. James Industrial	2.221	24
E	West Wolseley	1.226	276
E	Woodhaven	2.217	864
TOTAL St. James			30976
ST. CHARLES	Assiniboia Downs	2.227	34
F	Buchanan	2.209	3230
F	Crestview	2.210	9609
F	Glendale	2.211	1056
F	Heritage Park	2.212	5116
F	Kirkfield	2.216	2796
F	Saskatchewan North	2.222	26
F	Sturgeon Creek	2.214	3471
F	Westwood	2.224	7504
TOTAL St. Charles			32842

CHARLESWOOD - TUXEDO	Assiniboine Park	2.660	123
G	Betsworth	2.633	4586
G	Edgeland	2.613	1428
G	Elmhurst	2.636	4897
G	Eric Coy	2.614	2589
G	Marlton	2.617	726
G	Old Tuxedo	2.621	929
G	Ridgedale	2.622	616
G	Ridgewood South	2.649	187
G	Riverwest Park	2.623	1674
G	Roblin Park	2.624	1075
G	South Tuxedo	2.645	3546
G	Southboine	2.627	1519
G	Tuxedo	2.619	3169
G	Tuxedo Industrial	2.668	144
G	Varsity View	2.608	2734
G	Vialoux	2.630	986
G	Westdale	2.632	5078
G	Whyte Ridge	2.647	4446
G	Wilkes South	2.658	353
TOTAL Charleswood - Tuxedo			40805
RIVER HEIGHTS - FORT GARRY	Beaumont	2.602	2412
Н	Brockville	2.667	139
Н	Central River Heights	1.611	3225
Н	Chevrier	2.653	67
Н	Crescentwood	1.601	2796
Н	Grant Park	1.604	2922
Н	J.B. Mitchell	1.616	1949
Н	Linden Ridge	2.664	0
Н	Linden Woods	2.634	6470
Н	Mathers	1.618	2483
Н	Maybank	2.605	2394
Н	North River Heights	1.620	5718
Н	Parker	2.654	2
Н	Pembina Strip	2.643	3020
Н	Rockwood	1.607	4044
Н	Sir John Franklin	1.626	2527
Н	South River Heights	1.628	2849
	Wallington Crossent	1.631	1614
Н	Wellington Crescent	1.031	1014
н н	West Fort Garry Industrial	2.661	46

FORT ROUGE	Armstrong Point	1.119	330
I	Broadway - Assiniboine	1.165	5407
I	Crescent Park	2.612	2245
1	Earl Grey	1.106	4541
1	Ebby-Wentworth	1.107	717
1	Legislature	1.166	64
1	Lord Roberts	1.665	5200
1	McMillan	1.110	3761
1	Point Road	2.606	1854
I	River-Osborne	1.111	4614
1	Riverview	1.666	4469
I	Roslyn	1.117	4253
I	South Portage	1.161	1897
I	West Broadway	1.103	5188
1	Wildwood	2.609	1122
TOTAL Fort Rouge			45662
ST. NORBERT	Agassiz	5.610	510
J	Cloutier Drive	5.635	275
J	Fairfield Park	5.637	96
J	Fort Richmond	5.615	11824
J	La Barriere	5.657	171
J	Montcalm	5.640	4433
J	Normand Park	5.527	727
J	Parc La Salle	5.642	2249
J	Perrault	5.648	71
J	Richmond Lakes	5.641	1823
J	Richmond West	5.638	5373
J	River Park South	5.529	10839
J	St. Norbert	5.625	1527
J	Trappistes	5.650	62
J	Turnbull Drive	5.629	26
J	University	5.656	105
J	Waverley Heights	5.646	5585
J	Waverley West	5.651	405
TOTAL St. Norbert			46101

ST. VITAL	Alpine Place	5.504	3502
К	Dakota Crossing	5.530	6658
К	Elm Park	5.507	1611
К	Glenwood	5.508	3990
К	Kingston Crescent	5.518	714
К	Lavalee	5.510	1231
К	Meadowood	5.554	6134
К	Minnetonka	5.519	4984
К	Norberry	5.512	1339
К	Pulberry	5.521	4781
К	St. George	5.515	2873
К	St. Vital Centre	5.535	33
К	St. Vital Perimeter South	5.543	1299
К	Varennes	5.516	1097
К	Victoria Crescent	5.523	585
К	Vista	5.524	1624
К	Worthington	5.517	5550
TOTAL St. Vital			48005
ST. BONIFACE	Archwood	5.505	886
L	Central St. Boniface	5.502	6573
L	Dufresne	5.506	433
L	Dugald	5.534	11
L	Holden	5.509	196
L	Island Lakes	5.546	4055
L	Maginot	5.511	1678
L	Mission Industrial	5.549	118
L	Niakwa Park	5.550	678
L	Niakwa Place	5.551	2003
L	North St. Boniface	5.501	1867
L	Norwood East	5.513	4378
L	Norwood West	5.514	3113
L	Royalwood	5.533	341
L	South St. Boniface - A	5.531	330
L	South St. Boniface - B	5.553	3
L	Southdale	5.552	7128
L	Southland Park	5.542	652
L	St. Boniface Industrial Park	5.537	5
L	Stock Yards	5.539	11
L	Tissot	5.503	188
L	Windsor Park	5.525	10327
TOTAL St. Boniface			44974

TRANSCONA	Canterbury Park	4.414	4819
М	Grassie	4.424	1891
М	Griffin	4.426	4
М	Kern Park	4.406	1763
Μ	Kildare - Redonda	4.410	7114
Μ	Meadows	4.415	5145
М	Melrose	4.402	1340
М	Mission Gardens	4.416	3533
Μ	Peguis	4.417	427
М	Radisson	4.408	3533
М	Regent	4.433	67
М	Transcona North	4.435	21
М	Transcona South	4.425	672
М	Victoria West	4.404	2545
TOTAL Transcona			32874
ELMWOOD - EAST KILDOAN	Eaglemere	4.423	324
N	Chalmers	4.401	9809
N	East Elmwood	4.405	3251
N	Kildonan Crossing	4.429	6
N	Munroe East	4.412	8706
N	Munroe West	4.407	3039
N	Rossmere - B	4.434	4072
N	Talbot Grey	4.403	2370
N	Tyne - Tees	4.432	5
N	Valley Gardens	4.422	9087
N	West Elmwood	4.409	2320
TOTAL Elmwood - East Kildonan			42989
NORTH KILDONAN	Kil-Cona Park	4.427	272
0	Kildonan Drive	4.411	4787
0	McLeod Industrial	4.428	3
0	River East	4.418	9151
0	Rossmere - A	4.413	13859
0	Springfield North	4.419	5142
0	Springfield South	4.420	1554
0	Valhalla	4.421	3147
TOTAL North Kildonan			37915
TOTAL			618477

\* These 3 "NCA's" or "Precincts" are aggregations of 6 "NCA's" or "Precincts" for Statistics Canada Purposes.

Main Street North is an aggregation of China Town (1.172) and Civic Centre (1.173) North Portage is an aggregation of Central Park (1.169) and Portage – Ellice (1.168) Old Financial District is an aggregation of Exchange District (1.170) and Portage & Main (1.171).

For purposes of the 2001 Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission, the neighbourhood of Dufferin (3.301) has been split by Arlington Street. The portion west of Arlington has a population of 598 and the eastern portion has a population of 1,531.

### TABLE C

### **DESCRIPTIONS OF ELECTORAL WARD BOUNDARIES**

#### **Daniel McIntyre Ward**

Commence at CPR La Riviere and Notre Dame Ave. E. on Notre Dame Ave. to Balmoral St. S. on Balmoral St. to Cumberland Ave. E. on Cumberland Ave. to W. limit Lot 51, Block 4, Plan 129 N. on W. limit Lot 51, Block 4, Plan 129 to lane S. of Notre Dame Ave. E. on lane S. of Notre Dame Ave. to Hargrave St. N. on Hargrave St. to Notre Dame Ave. E. on Notre Dame Ave. to Portage Ave. W. on Portage Ave. to Portage Ave. W. on Portage Ave. to Memorial Blvd. S. on Memorial Blvd. to St. Mary Ave. W. on St. Mary Ave. to Portage Ave. W. on Portage Ave. to Maryland Ave. S. on Maryland Ave. to Assiniboine River W. on CPR La Riviere to point of commencement

#### Fort Rouge Ward

Commence at Portage Ave. and Memorial Blvd. E. on Portage Ave. to Fort St. S. on Fort St. to Graham Ave. E. on Graham Ave. to Main St. N. on Main St. to Water Ave. E. on Water Ave. to CNR Main Line N. on CNR Main Line to Lombard Ave. E. on Lombard Ave. to Red River S. on Red River to S. limit Plan 35795 W. on S. limit Plan 35795 to Pembina Hwy. N. on Pembina Hwy to Stafford St. N. on Stafford St. to Grosvenor Ave. E. on Grosvenor Ave. to Wellington Cresc. N. on Wellington Cresc. to W. limit Parcel A, Plan 19453 N. on W. limit Parcel A, Plan 19453 to Assiniboine River N. on Assiniboine River to Maryland St. N. on Maryland St. to Portage Ave. E. on Portage Ave. to St. Mary Ave. E. on St. Mary Ave. to Memorial Blvd. N. on Memorial Blvd. to point of commencement.

#### **River Heights – Fort Garry Ward**

Commence at Assiniboine River and Kenaston Blvd. E. on Assiniboine River to W. limit Parcel A, Plan 19453 S. on W. limit Parcel A, Plan 19453 to Wellington Cresc. S. on Wellington Cresc. to Grosvenor Ave. W. on Grosvenor Ave. to Stafford St. S. on Stafford St. to Pembina Hwy. S. on Pembina Hwy. to S. limit Plan 35795. E. on S. limit Plan 35795 to Red River S. on Red River to Bishop Grandin Blvd. W. on Bishop Grandin Blvd. to Kenaston Blvd. N. on Kenaston Blvd. to CPR Lariviere N. on CPR Lariviere to CNR Main Line W. on CNR Main Line to Kenaston Blvd. N. on Kenaston Blvd. to point of commencement.

#### St. James Ward

Commence at City limit (N.W. corner Airport Plan 34260)
E. along and following City limit to CPR Main Line
E. on CPR Main Line to Keewatin St.
S. on Keewatin St. to Notre Dame Ave.
E. on Notre Dame Ave. to CPR La Riviere
S. on CPR La Riviere to Assiniboine River
W. on Assiniboine River to W. limit Lot 30, Plan 20337
N. on W. limit Lot 30, Plan 20337 and following the limits of said Lot 30 to Harris Blvd.
N. on Harris Blvd. to S. limit Block 1, Plan 5996
W. on S. limit Block 1, Plan 5996 to W. limit Block 1, Plan 5996
N. on W. limit Block 1, Plan 5996 to Portage Ave.
E. on Portage Ave. to Sturgeon Rd.
N. on Sturgeon Rd. to Saskatchewan Ave.
E. on Saskatchewan Ave. to W. limit Plan 34260 (Airport)

N. on W. limit Plan 34260 (Airport) to point of commencement.

#### Charleswood - Tuxedo Ward

Commence at City limit (Perimeter Hwy. and Assinboine River)

E. on Assiniboine River to Kenaston Blvd.

S. on Kenaston Blvd. to CNR Main Line

E. on CNR Main Line to CPR Lariviere

S. on CPR Lariviere to Kenaston Blvd.

S. on Kenaston Blvd. to S. limit Public Road Plan 9466

W. on S. limit Public Road Plan 9466 to City limit (at Brady Rd.)

N. along and following City limit to point of commencement.

#### St. Charles Ward

Commence at City limit (Perimeter Hwy. and Four Mile Rd. N. of Sturgeon Creek)
E. along and following City limit to N. limit Plan 34260 (Airport)
S. on W. limit Plan 34260 (Airport) to Saskatchewan Ave.
W. on Saskatchewan Ave. to Sturgeon Rd.
S. on Sturgeon Rd. to Portage Ave.
W. on Portage Ave. to W. limit Block 1, Plan 5996
S. on W. limit Block 1, Plan 5996 to S. limit Block 1, Plan 5996
E. on S. limit Block 1, Plan 5996 to Harris Blvd.
S. on Harris Blvd. to N. limit Lot 30, Plan 20337 (S. limit Assiniboine Ave.)
W. on Assiniboine River to City limit (Camp Manitou Rd.)
N. along and following City limit to point of commencement.

#### **Old Kildonan Ward**

Commence at City limit (Mollard Rd. and Brookside Blvd.) E. along and following City limit to Red River S. on Red River to Chief Peguis Tr. W. on Chief Peguis Tr. to Main St. S. on Main St. to Bergen Cut-off (Plan 2417) W. on Bergen Cut-off (Plan 2417) to CPR Winnipeg Beach. S. on CPR Winnipeg Beach to Carruthers Ave. W. on Carruthers Ave. and N. limit Parcel A, Plan 22424 to McPhillips St. S. on McPhillips St. to N. limit Lot 29, Plan 24707 W. on N. limit Lot 29, Plan 24707 to W. limit Lot 29, Plan 24707 S. on W. limit Lots 29 and 30, Plan 24707 to lane N. of Lansdowne Ave. W. on Lane N. of Lansdowne Ave. to W. limit Lot 23, Block 1, Plan 21007 N. on W. limit Lot 23, Block 1, Plan 21007 to S. limit Lot 2, Block 14, Plan 10512 W. on S. limit Lots 2 and 1, Block 14, Plan 10512 and their straight production W. to Keewatin St. S. on Keewatin St. to Inkster Blvd. W. on Inkster Blvd. to City limit N. along and following City limit to point of commencement.

#### Mynarski Ward

Commencing at CPR Winnipeg Beach and Bergen Cut-off (Plan2417) E. on Bergen Cut-off (Plan 2417) to Main St. N. on Main St. to Chief Peguis Tr. E. on Chief Peguis Tr. to Red River S. on Red River to CPR Main Line W. on CPR Main Line to Arlington St. N. on Arlington St. to Carruthers Ave. W. on Carruthers Ave. to CPR Winnipeg Beach.

N. on CPR Winnipeg Beach to point of commencement.

#### **Point Douglas Ward**

Commence at City limit and Inkster Blvd. E. on Inkster Blvd. to Keewatin St. N. on Keewatin St. to S. limit Parcel A, Plan 23582 E. on S. limit Parcels A and C, Plan 23582 and their straight production E. to Fife St. E. on S. limit Lots 1 and 2, Block 14, Plan 10512 to W. limit Lot 23, Block 1, Plan 21007 S. on W. limit Lot 23, Block 1, Plan 21007 to lane N. of Lansdowne Ave. E. on lane N. of Lansdowne Ave. to W. limit Lot 30, Plan 24707 N. on W. limit Lots 30 and 29, Plan 24707 to N. limit Lot 29, Plan 24707 E. on N. limit Lot 29, Plan 24707 to McPhillips St. N. on McPhillips St. to N. limit Parcel A, Plan 22424 E. on N. limit Parcel A. Plan 22424 to Carruthers Ave. E. on Carruthers Ave. to Arlington St. S. on Arlington St. to CPR Main Line E. on CPR Main Line to Red River S. on Red River to Lombard Ave. W. on Lombard Ave. to CNR Main Line S. on CNR Main Line to Water Ave. W. on Water Ave. to Main St. S. on Main St. to Graham Ave. W. on Graham Ave. to Fort St. N. on Fort St. to Notre Dame Ave. W. on Notre Dame Ave. to Hargrave St. S. on Hargrave St. to lane S. of Notre Dame Ave. W. on lane S. of Notre Dame Ave. to W. limit Lot 51, Block 4, Plan 129 S. on W. limit Lot 51, Block 4, Plan 129 to Cumberland Ave. W. on Cumberland Ave. to Balmoral St. N. on Balmoral St. to Notre Dame Ave. W. on Notre Dame Ave. to Keewatin St. N. on Keewatin St. to CPR Main Line W. on CPR Main Line to City Limit N. on City limit to point of commencement.

#### North Kildonan Ward

Commence at Red River and City limit (Glenway Ave.) E. on City limit to Springfield Rd. W. on City limit and Springfield Rd. to Lagimodiere Blvd. S. on Lagimodiere Blvd. and Molson St. to McLeod Ave. W. on McLeod Ave. to CPR Lac du Bonnet S. on CPR Lac du Bonnet to rear lot line N. of Roberta Ave. W. on rear lot line N. of Roberta Ave. to Watt St. N. on Watt St. to lane N. of Leighton Ave. W. on lane N. of Leighton Ave. to Roch St. S. on Roch St. to Leighton Ave. W. on Leighton Ave. to Henderson Hwy. S. on Henderson Hwy. to S. limit Plan 4606 (S. of Bredin Dr.) W. on S. limit Plan 4606 to Red River N. on Red River to point of commencement.

#### **Transcona Ward**

Commence at Lagimodiere Blvd. and Springfield Rd. E. on Springfield Rd. to City limit at Plessis Rd. S. along and following City limit to return to Plessis Rd. at St. Boniface Rd. N. on Plessis Rd. to S. limit Lot 5, Plan 9296 W. on S. limit Lot 5, Plan 9296 to E. limit Block 10, Plan 13723 W. and N. following limits Block 10, Plan 13723 to Dugald Rd. W. on Dugald Rd. to E. limit Lot 5, Plan 14363 N. on E. limit Lot 5, Plan 14363 to CNR Main Line W. on CNR Main Line to Lagimodiere Blvd. N. on Lagimodiere Blvd. to point of commencement.

#### Elmwood - East Kildonan Ward

Commence at Red River and S. limit Plan 4606 (S. of Bredin Dr.) E. on S. limit Plan 4606 to Henderson Hwy. N. on Henderson Hwy. to Leighton Ave. E. on Leighton Ave. to Roch St. N. on Roch St. to lane N. of Leighton Ave. E. on lane N. of Leighton Ave. to Watt St. S. on Watt St. to rear lot line N. of Roberta Ave. E. on rear lot line N. of Roberta Ave. to CPR Lac du Bonnet N. on CPR Lac du Bonnet to McLeod Ave. E. on McLeod Ave. to Molson St. N. on Molson St. to Lagimodiere Blvd. (at Cordite Rd.) S. on Lagimodiere Blvd. to CNR Main Line W. on CNR Main Line to Archibald St. N. on Archibald St. to CPR Main Line W. on CPR Main Line to Red River N. on Red River to point of commencement.

#### St. Boniface Ward

Commence at Red River and CPR Main Line
E. on CPR Main Line to Archibald St.
S. on Archibald St. to CNR Main Line
E. on CNR Main Line to E. limit Lot 5, Plan 14363
S. on E. limit Lot 5, Plan 14363 to Dugald Rd.
E. on Dugald Rd. to E. limit Block 10, Plan 13723
S. and E. following limits Block 10, Plan 13723 to Plessis Rd.
S. on Plessis Rd. and City limit to the Perimeter Hwy.
W. on Perimeter Hwy. to Seine River
N. on Seine River to N. limit The Glen
W. on N. limit The Glen to Youville St.
N. on Youville St. to Carriere Ave.
W. on Carriere Ave. to Red River
N. on Red River to point of commencement.

#### St. Norbert Ward

Commence at City limit (Brady Rd.) and S. limit Public Road Plan 9466
E. on S. limit Public Road Plan 9466 to Bishop Grandin Blvd.
E. on Bishop Grandin Blvd. to Red River
S. on Red River to W. limit Public Reserve Plan 14862 (E. of McNulty Pl.)
N. on W. limit Public Reserve Plan 14862 to River Rd.
E. on River Rd. and Novavista Dr. to Dakota St.
S. on Dakota St. to Perimeter Hwy.
W. on Perimeter Hwy. to St. Mary's Rd.
N. on St. Mary's Rd. to N. limit Lot 29, Plan 20411 (Maple Grove Park)
W. on N. limit Lot 29, Plan 20411 to Red River
S. on Red River to City limit
W. along and following City limit to point of commencement.

#### St. Vital Ward

Commence at Red River and Carriere Ave.

E. on Carriere Ave. to Youville St.

S. on Youville St. to N. limit The Glen

E. on N. limit The Glen to Seine River

S. on Seine River to Perimeter Hwy.

E. on Perimeter Hwy. to City limit

S. along and following City limit to Red River

N. on Red River to N. limit Lot 29, Plan 20411 (Maple Grove Park)

E. on N. limit Lot 29, Plan 20411 to St. Mary's Rd.

S. on St. Mary's Rd. to Perimeter Hwy.

E. on Perimeter Hwy. to Dakota St.

N. on Dakota St. to Novavista Dr.

W. on Novavista Dr. and River Road to W. limit Public Reserve Plan 14862 (E. of McNulty Pl.)

S. on W. limit Public Reserve Plan 14862 to Red River

N. on Red River to point of commencement.

# TABLE D

# **ELECTORAL WARD NAMES**

OLD KILDONAN

**MYNARSKI** 

POINT DOUGLAS

DANIEL McINTYRE

ST. JAMES

ST. CHARLES

CHARLESWOOD - TUXEDO

**RIVER HEIGHTS-FORT GARRY** 

FORT ROUGE

ST. NORBERT

ST. VITAL

ST. BONIFACE

TRANSCONA

ELMWOOD-EAST KILDONAN

NORTH KILDONAN

# TABLE E

### LIST OF PRESENTATIONS AND WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission Public Hearings Delegations

 September 17, 2001 – 2000 Portage Avenue Councillor Jae Eadie Ms Patricia Masniuk, Chairperson, City Centre Residents Advisory Group

#### 2. September 25, 2001 – 300 Assiniboine Avenue

Ms Patricia Masniuk, City Centre Residents Advisory Group Ms Carolyn Garlich, Council of Women of Winnipeg Councillor Jenny Gerbasi, Fort Rouge Ward Mr. Nick Ternette, private citizen Councillor Shirley Timm-Rudolph, Transcona Ward Mr. Fred Curry, private citizen Mr. Fred Morris, private citizen Mr. Rich Pinchin, private citizen

#### 3. September 26, 2001 – 1760 Main Street

Councillor Harvey Smith, Daniel McIntyre Ward

#### 4. October 1, 2001 – 755 Henderson Highway

Councillor Peter De Smedt, St. Charles Ward Councillor Garth Steek, River Heights Ward Mr. John Kubi, private citizen Councillor Lillian Thomas, Elmwood Ward Ms Patricia Masniuk, City Centre Residents Advisory Group

#### 5. October 2, 2001 – 604 St. Mary's Road

Mr. Richard Gagnon, private citizen Councillor John Angus, St. Norbert Ward Councillor John Prystanski, Point Douglas Ward Mr. Nelson Morrison, private citizen

#### List of Presentations and Written Submissions (continued)

#### 6. **October 30, 2001 – City Hall**

Mr. Nick Ternette, private citizen
Councillor Bill Clement, Charleswood – Fort Garry Ward
Councillor John Angus, St. Norbert Ward
Ms Carolyn Garlich, Council of Women of Winnipeg
Ms Joy Smith, MLA for Fort Garry
Mr. Frank Leibzeit, private citizen
Councillor Garth Steek, River Heights Ward
Councillor Lillian Thomas, Elmwood Ward
Mr. Rick Pinchin, private citizen
Ms Patricia Masniuk, City Centre Residents Advisory Group

#### WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS

Written submissions were presented to the Commission, other than at the public hearings. These submissions came from the following individuals and organizations:

Mr. Fred Morris, private citizen
Mr. Douglas B. Clark, Executive Director, Downtown BIZ
Ms Carolyn Garlich, Council of Women of Winnipeg
Mr. John Kubi, private citizen
Mr. Rick Pinchin, private citizen
Mr. Thomas E. Spence, private citizen
Ms Marjory Harvey, Treasurer, N.E. Fort Garry Homeowners Association
Mr. Richard Gagnon, private citizen
Mr. John Kubi, Chair, East Kildonan - Transcona Residents' Advisory Group
Mr Ed Ploszay, West Kildonan Residents Association
Mr. Doug and Mrs. Lorna Hutchings, private citizens

### TABLE F

### DOCUMENTATION VERIFYING THE USE OF 1996 CENSUS DATA

Statistics Canada Statistique Canada Park Plaza, Suite 440 Park Plaza, Suite 440 2365 Albert Street Regina, Saskatchewan S4P 4K1 2365 rue Albert Regina, (Saskatchewan) S4P 4K1 '01 NOV -5 P2:10 November 1, 2001 The Honourable Benjamin Hewak Chief Justice of the Court of Queen's Bench of Manitoba Chairman The Winnipeg Wards Boundaries Commission Council Building, 510 Main Street Winnipeg, MB R3B 1B9 care of: Mr. Larry Loreth, Coordinator Dear Chief Justice Hewak: Mr. Larry Loreth called me about the availability of the 2001 Census data. The first release of the 2001 Census data is scheduled for March 2002. This is very basic information. While needs and circumstances will vary, I would say July of 2002 would be the soonest the Commission could expect any information at a municipal ward or neighbourhood level. I have attached a schedule of release dates which your Commission may find helpful. If this explanation is not satisfactory, I apologise but I have tried to keep it to the point Mr. Loreth expressed. I am certainly willing to provide any further confirmation you may require. If you have further questions, please feel free to contact me at (306) 780-5404 or toll-free at 1-800-263-1136-1-0. Thank you. Sincerely, Larry Depers Assistant Regional Director Statistics Canada Canadä

# TABLE F (continued)

RELEASE DATE	VARIABLES	RESPONSIBLE DIVISION (The Daily)
12 March 2002	Population and Dwelling Counts Occupied Private Dwellings Collectives	Geography
16 July 2002	Age and Sex	Demography
22 October 2002	Marital Status Common-law Status Families <b>Dwellings and Households</b>	HFSSD
10 December 2002	Language Mobility and Migration	Demography
21 January 2003	Citizenship Immigration Birthplace and Birthplace of Parents * Ethnic Origin * Visible Minorities Aboriginal	HFSSD
11 February 2003	Labour Force Activity Presence of children Occupation Industry * Place of Work * Mode of Transportation Language of Work (new) Unpaid Work	LSD
11 March 2003	* Education * Field of Study * Highest Level of Schooling Earnings	CTCES
13 May 2003	Income of Individuals, Families and Households Social and Economic Characteristics of Individuals, Families and Households Shelter Costs Religion (last asked in 1991)	ISD

Note:

Variables shown in **bold** have release dates for the 2001 Census **earlier** than for the 1996 Census.

Most variables meet the proposed release dates according to the schedule of processing and approval activities which are required prior to release. Those preceded by an \* (they are yellow or red in the background work documents) may be at risk of not meeting the proposed release dates due to conflicts in the schedule of the approval activities or with the loading of the Sybase database. It should be noted that some variables were released under these circumstances during the 1996 Census.

• Discussions are still required with the Dissemination Project on the impact of this schedule on the number, format and content of tables for each release, including possibly introducing more detailed geography.

# SCHEDULE A