



257-2012 ADDENDUM 3

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR CONDITION ASSESSMENT OF HIGH RISK WATER AND WASTEWATER RIVER CROSSINGS

ISSUED: May 3, 2012
BY: N. Clinch
TELEPHONE NO. (204) 986-4449

URGENT

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Template Version: Ar20120228

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 9 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.

PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

Revise: B2.1 to read: The Submission Deadline is 4:00 p.m. Winnipeg time, **May 11, 2012**.

Revise: B9 FEES (SECTION B) to read:

B9 FEES (SECTION B)

- B9.1** The Proposal shall include a Fixed Fee for all disciplines and/or phases for as much as is practical for Work such as mobilization/demobilization, minimum daily charges, etc.
- B9.2** The Proposal shall include a Length or Distance Based Fee for all disciplines and/or phases for Work that can be priced on a per metre basis such as pipe inspection and data analysis.
- B9.3** **The Proposal shall include a Percentage Based Fee calculated on a percentage basis for all disciplines and/or phases for Work related to hiring civil contractors to perform work such as identified in D3.4 e) for pre-cleaning, site preparation and modifications to existing plant and based on the “Class 5 estimate of the construction costs”.**
- B9.4** The Proposal shall include a Time Based Fee schedule on an hourly basis for all disciplines and/or phases for Work that are not clearly defined or where the amount of Work will depend on conditions yet to be determined and not covered by B9.1, B9.2 or B9.3.
- B9.5** Adjustments to Fees will only be considered based on increases to the Scope of Services.
- B9.5.1** The City will not consider an adjustment to the Fees based on changes in the Project budget or the Final Total Construction Cost.
- B9.6** Notwithstanding C1.1(b), Fees shall include costs for out of town travel, related meals and accommodations for the duration of the Project and shall not be considered an Allowable Disbursement.
- B9.7** The Fee Proposal shall also include an allowance for Allowable Disbursements as defined in C1.1(b), but shall exclude the costs of any materials testing, soils and hazardous materials investigation during construction.
- B9.8** Notwithstanding C10.1, Fees submitted shall not include the Goods and Services Tax (GST) or Manitoba Retail Sales Tax (MRST, also known as PST), which shall be extra where applicable.

- B9.9 Payments to Non-Resident Consultants are subject to Non-Resident Withholding Tax pursuant to the Income Tax Act (Canada).
- Insert B11.3 Provide a chart showing a detailed breakdown of fees and expenses for each resource assigned to the project for each phase of the work and/or project milestone. Provide time estimates for all resources and all other information that will allow for evaluation of the fees stated compared to the work proposed.

Note that the above noted chart is to be provided as part of the Technical Proposal (Section D)

Revise: B20.1 (d) to read:

(d) Experience of Proponent, Subconsultants and Key Personnel; (Section C) **15%**

Revise: B20.1 (e) to read:

(e) Technical Proposal; (Section D) **40%**

Revise: B20.1 (f) to read:

(f) Management Proposal (Section E) **3%**

Revise: B20.1 (g) to read:

(g) Project Schedule. (Section F) **2%**

PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

- Revise: D3.2 to read: Under this assignment the City intends to conduct proactive assessments of high risk river crossings by direct assessment of the remaining pipe wall thickness and to accurately quantify localized areas of corrosion for as much as practicable. In addition the riverbanks at all crossings shall be assessed for stability issues and whether any identified issues will affect the individual pipes.
- Revise: D3.4 d) iii) to read: Where RFEC/MFL cannot be employed due to an unfavourable risk assessment or cost/benefit ratio (inspection exceeds ~7% of replacement costs), material type or where only a partial inspection is obtained, other technologies such as acoustic or ultrasonic examination may be considered where practical.