

## 576-2012 ADDENDUM 2

## SUPPLY, INSTALLATION AND OPERATION OF A PHOTO ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM

## **URGENT**

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL ISSUED: August 9, 2012 BY: Patrol Sergeant Kirk Van Alstyne TELEPHONE NO. (204) 928-7602-

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 9 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.

## **Questions and Answers**

- Q1 Will the City post all vendor questions along with the City's responses to them?
  - A1 Yes, questions, clarifications and the City's response, will be posted in an addendum which is accessible to other potential Bidders. This is located on the City of Winnipeg, Materials Management web-site, as per clause B4.4 in RFP 576-2012. (http://www.winnipeg.ca/MatMgt/FolderContents.asp?FOLDER\_NAME=576-2012&YEAR=2012)
- Q2 Form A, Page 2 of 34; The City indicates vendors must hold their prices for 120 days but does not indicate an award date. What is the anticipated award date or schedule for City approval?
  - A2 If an award is to be made, the earliest anticipated award date is September 30, 2012, but could be later.
- Q3 Form N #189, Page 26 of 34; Processing Centre- Refers to a 'remote' processing centre. Is that location permitted to be outside of Manitoba? Outside of Canada?
  - A3 As per RFP 576-2012 ; D3.1(u) "Remote Offence Processing Centre" means a temporary location for the purposes of this Contract, within Canada, where the Contractor can process Offence Notices in accordance with the Legislation outlined in Item 193 of the Detailed Specifications.

The remote processing centre must be in Canada, but not necessarily in Manitoba.

Q4 B17. 5.2 Page 8 of 9; Monthly Ticket Volumes- No projection is provided for the number of tickets anticipated to be issued from the Laser instrument. Could the City provide a monthly estimate?

A4 Relative to existing photo radar wet film processing, The City is anticipating a 6% increase in violations with the use of laser technology. This increase was based on the prediction that violations will be not be discarded (errored out) due to photo quality and other issues with associated with existing wet film processing. Using 2011 stats from the WPS Photo Enforcement Annual Report

(http://www.winnipeg.ca/police/safestreets/docs/2011\_photo\_enforcement\_annual\_report.pdf)

44,141 violations were issued by Photo Enforcement units. With that being said, estimating the number of violations for a laser instrument will depend on units used, deployment times and durations.

- Q5 Form N #26, Page 12 of 34. Camera Unit, Provincial Regulatory Approval- This section indicates that ICES instruments be approved by the Province of Manitoba. Is it the City's or the vendors responsibility to have the units approved?
  - A5 The City and WPS will take the lead on obtaining approval of ICES instruments, with the assistance of the Contractor.
- Q6 Form N #26, Page 12 of 34. Camera Unit, Provincial Regulatory Approval- What has the City's experience been recently as to the timelines of having instruments approved by the Province?
  - A6 The City and WPS anticipate a 6 month approval period for instruments, as this is a historical time frame WPS traffic division has experienced.