

## 602-2015 ADDENDUM 4

### REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR A GRANT PARK CAMPUS PLAN AND FEASIBILITY STUDY

#### **URGENT**

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: January 29, 2016  
BY: Paul Skutnik  
TELEPHONE NO. 204 – 451 - 3749

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Ar20150806

---

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 9 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.

---

#### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

- Q1** B 10.1 (b): List of anticipated sub-consultants, –Why acoustic engineering?
- A1** This is required in order to address sound separation for joint use of space in libraries (e.g. between main library space and any potential program rooms).
- Q2** D 3.7: what level of assessment is required for each of the listed amenities and facilities. Are there existing building plans and facility assessment reports that the consultant can rely on for this planning study or is new/additional study required? If so what scope of work is required for each?
- (a) Pan-Am Pool and related parking.
  - (b) Pan-Am Clinic, Diamond Athletic Medical Supplies and related parking leased on City of Winnipeg land;
  - (c) Charlie Barbour Indoor Arena and related parking.
  - (d) Active green space containing 12 soccer pitches of varying sizes (approx. 18 acres) managed by Winnipeg South End United Soccer Club.
  - (e) City of Winnipeg Recycling Centre;
  - (f) Passive green space along Grant Avenue.
  - (g) Community Gardens (Run by the City of Winnipeg along with Landless Farmers as the sole renter.
- A2** For Scope of Services refer to D4.2. The City will provide civic facility assessment information to the successful proponent.
- Q3** D 4.2 (b) ii: please provide these reports.
- A3** Please refer to Addendum 3.

**Q4** D 4.2 (d): What is envisioned for 'detailed' economic analysis? Please provide additional scope or detail on these requirements.

**A4** Please refer to Addendum 3.

**Q5** D 4.2 (e) iii: "...which scope of work is to be approved by the Public Works Department..." please provide a scope of this service that we can price.

**A5** Based on the applicants past experience in this area and the information provided in the other portions of the RFP (site amenities diagrams etc.). Refer to D4.2(e)(iii) for further details.

**Q6** D 4.2 (f): "value of existing assets/site amenities..." – what specifically does this refer to?

**A6** The City will provide that information to the successful proponent.

**Q7** D 8 2(c): Errors and Omissions Insurance –at \$5,000,000. This is unreasonable and unnecessary for a consulting project. This level of insurance is prejudicial to smaller firms. We recommend that the E&O insurance be set at \$500,000 with a limitation of liability clause.

**A7** Please refer to Addendum 3.

**Q8** Is it the intent City's to retain the existing Charles Barbour Arena?

**A8** This will be determined as the project unfolds and consultation with stakeholders occurs.

**Q9** Are plans for the Charles Barbour Arena twinning available? Can these be relied on for the purpose of this framework plan? Or does the consultant need to prepare schematic arena twinning plans for this purpose?

**A9** No, not required. Please refer to D 3.9 one of the objectives of the Grant Park Plan and Feasibility Study includes the potential twinning of the Charles Barbour Arena.

**Q10** Is there a proposed community sponsor for the arena twinning project?

**A10** No.

**Q11** Who is on the selection committee?

**A11** Public Service Staff