



1288-2019 ADDENDUM 3

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR WINNIPEG AREA TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN – WINNIPEG REGION TRANSPORTATION REVIEW

ISSUED: January 29, 2020
BY: Alex Regiec, MCIP, RPP
TELEPHONE NO. 204 - 986-2168

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND
SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT
DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Ar20160708

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 9 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.

PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

- Revise: B8.7 to read: The pre-bid estimate for this Contract is \$65,000, inclusive of applicable taxes, Fees, and disbursements.
- Revise: B10.3 to read: Effective January 1, 2018, the City reserves the right to stipulate that any projects that include Public Engagement work will require that all Public Engagement work be performed by a public engagement professional who has completed the Foundations in Public Participation offered by IAP2 or equivalent.
- Revise: B21.7 to read: Further to B21.1(c) where the Fees exceeds the estimate stated in B8.7, the City may determine that no award will be made in accordance with B22.2.1(a).

PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

- Revise D6.5.3 to read: D6.5.2 A list of future recommendations and enhancements must include a cost / benefit analysis, financial cost and funding options.
- Revise: D6.5.4 to read: D6.5.3 Recommendations for related to the regional transportation network infrastructure, policy, and services must further the goals of the City of Winnipeg, as defined in D5.2.1 and outlined in related documents as *OurWinnipeg*, *Sustainable Transportation*, *Complete Communities*, and the *Transit Master Plan*. All recommendations should point back to specific policies in these documents if applicable.
- Delete: D6.5.4

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1: D6.2.5 states “All indicators are measured within the Winnipeg Metropolitan Region and should include a peer and best practices comparison with other similar-sized regions in Canada.” Can you clarify the peer review is to include analysis of the indicators listed in D6.2.3 and D6.2.4 for similar-sized regions in Canada and if so, how many similar sized regions are required?

A1: Yes, the review is to include analysis of the indicators listed D6.2.3 and D6.2.4. Similar-sized regions would include Calgary, Edmonton, Hamilton, Kitchener-Waterloo-Cambridge, Ottawa-Gatineau and Quebec City.