



## 809-2020 ADDENDUM 4

### PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR BONIVITAL POOL RENEWAL

#### **URGENT**

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO  
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE  
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: February 25, 2021  
BY: Dennis Flores  
TELEPHONE NO. 204 - 986-7046

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED  
INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND  
SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT  
DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Ar20160708

---

**Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph #10 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.**

---

#### **APPENDIX**

**REVISE** Appendix E – Consultant Building Condition Assessment

**DELETE** Appendix F – Consultant Building Condition Assessment

#### **PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES**

##### **DELETE**

B7.2 (f) List of Projects with Similar Scope (Section H) in accordance with B15.

##### **REVISE**

B11.2 Identify the following Key Personnel assigned to the Project:

- (a) project manager,
- (b) lead architect,
- (c) lead engineers,
- (d) cost consultant

##### **DELETE**

B12.4 (b) the teams' understanding of the urban design issues;  
(d) the teams' understanding of IAP2 processes and principles and how they apply to the Project;

##### **DELETE**

B12.5 Further to 0, the City considers Foundations of Public Engagement offered by IAP2 an asset. Although IAP2 training is considered an asset, it is not a requirement, and qualifications and experience will be weighted more heavily than training.

## REVISE

### B14. LIST OF PROJECTS WITH SIMILAR SCOPE AND DELIVERY (SECTION G)

- B14.1 Submit a list of the Key Projects of similar complexity, scope and value, including a short summary of objectives, challenges and solutions.
- B14.2 Demonstrated ability to successfully deliver projects on-schedule and on-budget relative to the owner's requirements, in projects where the proponent provided services as both owner's representative and contract administrator, on recently (within the past five years) completed projects.

## DELETE

### B15. PROJECT DELIVERY (Section H)

## REVISE

- B24.1 Award of the Contract shall be based on the following evaluation criteria:
- (a) compliance by the Proponent with the requirements of the Request for Proposal or acceptable deviation therefrom: (pass/fail)
  - (b) qualifications of the Proponent and the Subconsultants, if any, pursuant to B17: (pass/fail)
  - (c) Fees; (Section B) 10%
  - (d) Experience of Proponent and Subconsultant; (Section C) 20%
  - (e) Experience of Key Personnel Assigned to the Project; (Section D) 15%
  - (f) Project Understanding and Methodology (Section E) 15%
  - (g) Project Schedule. (Section F) 15%
  - (h) List of Projects with Similar Scope and Project Delivery (Section G) 25%

## REVISE

- D5.1 (c) The design consultant shall be solely responsible to ensure all WADS [https://winnipeg.ca/ppd/Universal\\_Design.stm](https://winnipeg.ca/ppd/Universal_Design.stm) requirements are considered and as part of the project Deliverables provided to the City, will produce a comprehensive WADS Compliance Document that summarizes all analysis, application, limitations & exclusions introduced by existing conditions (if applicable), and implementation of solutions; complete with signed statement of WADS compliance by the professional taking responsibility for the work, at the time that final drawings are issued for construction.

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

### Clarification on Scope Question

Q1: Item D5.1.c references budget for architectural work. What is the architectural portion of the budget? What percentage of the architectural budget is anticipated for building maintenance renewal, and what percentage is anticipated for enhancements to the user experience?

A1: Critical building repairs are the priority of the design assignment, and that specific allocation of work as components of the budget is to be established by way of the Integrated Design Process once the consultant is engaged.

- Q2: Item B11.2 is asking for the experience and qualifications of the Prime Consultant's Project Manager only. Item B11.3 lists other Key Personnel roles. Please clarify which key personnel (both from Prime Consultant and Sub-consultants) experience are to be provided in Section D.
- A2: Key personnel: project manager, lead architect, lead engineers, cost consultant – identify their experience for both Prime and Subconsultants as applicable.
- Q3: Which disciplines do you require the lead engineer information on for this section?
- A3: All, if applicable.
- Q4: Please confirm that Item B12.4.b is required, with no building addition being anticipated in this project.
- A4: Confirmed – no building addition.
- Q5: Are the items listed in D5 to be each broken out under Additional Services in Section B?
- A6: No.
- Q7: So these items are additional services, and as such, are not in scope for this proposal?
- A7: These are included in the Scope of Work.
- Q8: Will CAD files be provided to the successful proponent?
- A8: Record drawings provided will likely be a combination of pdf, digitally scanned archival prints, and some CAD drawings. Proponents should not assume that any CAD drawings provided by the City are sufficient to be developed into CAD-based construction documents.
- Q9: Will an access control system be required?
- A9: No, however it is possible that some modifications or upgrades to existing infrastructure may arise through renovation work. To be established in the design process.
- Q10: Will a new telecommunications system complete with CoW wifi be required?
- A10: No.