# **DYREGROV ROBINSON INC.**

**Consulting Geotechnical Engineers** 

Unit 1 – 1692 Dublin Ave. Winnipeg, MB R3H 1A8 TEL (204) 632-7252 FAX (204) 632-1442

Project: 163939

May 27, 2016

City of Winnipeg Planning, Property & Development Department Municipal Accommodations Division Project Services Branch 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, 185 King Street Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 1J1

Attention: John Atkinson, Project Officer

### RE: Crescent Drive Park Pavilion Geotechnical Investigation

As requested, Dyregrov Robinson Inc. (DRI) has undertaken a geotechnical investigation for the proposed pavilion project in Crescent Drive Park which is located at 756 Crescent Drive in Winnipeg, MB. The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the subsurface conditions in order to provide geotechnical recommendations for design of foundations and floor slabs.

#### **Proposed Development**

The proposed development is understood to consist of multiple interconnected 4 season and covered 3 season elements including washroom facilities, fire place stations, patio areas, and a multi-purpose room. The development will be single storey, built at grade, and encompass approximately 212 m<sup>2</sup>. We understand that the washroom and multi-purpose building areas (i.e. the 4 season elements) will be heated.

#### **Site Conditions**

The proposed pavilion will replaced the existing washroom facility at 756 Crescent Drive, within the Crescent Drive Park. The general site area is about 1 to 2 m higher than the surrounding area to the west, south and east. The ground north of the existing facility is similar in elevation to the site grade. The area is mainly vegetated with grass but there are a number of mature trees in the general area.

The existing washroom building and sidewalk / patio areas are generally in good condition and do not exhibit signs of significant seasonal movement. The foundation types used to support the building were not provided.

#### Field Investigation

Three test holes were drilled on March 9, 2016 at the locations shown on attached Figure 1. The test holes were drilled by Paddock Drilling Ltd. using an RM-30 track mounted drill rig equipped with 125 mm diameter solid stem augers. The test holes were drilled to depths of 11.2, 5.0 and 3.5 m below grade in Test Holes 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The subsurface conditions were visually logged during drilling by DRI.

Representative disturbed (auger cuttings and split barrel sampler) samples were collected from each test hole. Standard Penetration Tests (SPT's) were performed by driving a split barrel sampler 450 mm into the base of the test hole using an automatic slide hammer weighing 63.5 kg dropped from a height of 760 mm. The number of blows for every 150 mm of penetration was recorded. The SPT N value is the number of hammer blows required to drive the split barrel 300 mm after the initial 150 mm of penetration. The SPT-N values are shown on the test hole logs. All test holes were backfilled with auger cuttings and bentonite chips. All samples were taken to our Soils Testing Laboratory in Winnipeg for additional visual classification and testing to determine the moisture contents on all samples. The test hole logs are attached in Appendix A and include a description of the subsurface conditions encountered, the results of the laboratory testing, and notes regarding the observations made during drilling.

#### Subsurface Conditions

The soil profile encountered in the test holes consists of a thin surficial layer of sand and gravel or topsoil fill overlying alluvial sand and clay deposits. The ground was frozen to a depths of 0.6 to 0.8 m at the time of drilling. The soil stratigraphy encountered was different than expected based on local experience which suggested that alluvial clay soils would be present at the site.

Sand and gravel fill was encountered from surface in Test Holes 1 and 2 and was 50 mm thick. A 50 mm thick layer of black topsoil was encountered from surface in Test Hole 3.

A 1.45 m thick clay layer was encountered beneath the sand and gravel fill in Test Hole 3. The clay is silty, black, and moist with high plasticity. The moisture content of the clay is around 25 percent.

A sand layer was encountered in Test Holes 1 and 3 below the sand and gravel fill. The sand is 5.5 m thick in Test Hole 1 and 1.5 m thick in Test Hole 3. The sand is silty, brown, dry and loose to compact. The moisture content ranges from 6 to 12 percent and the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N values from 5 tests ranged from 7 to 13 with an average around 10.

A clayey sand layer was encountered at depths of 5.5, 1.5, and 1.5 m in Test Holes 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The sand is brown and moist with the colour turning to grey below 7.3 m in Test Hole 1. The moisture contents ranged from around 6 to 28 percent, with an increased moisture content of 34 percent being determined in a suspected 150 mm thick clay layer at 9.1 m below grade in Test Hole 1. In-situ SPT testing yielded SPT N-values ranging from 6 to 10 indicating a loose condition.

No seepage was observed in the test holes during drilling. Some sloughing was observed in Test Hole 1, with it remaining open to 6.1 m below grade after drilling to a depth of 11.2 m. No sloughing was observed in Test Holes 2 and 3. Groundwater conditions should be expected to vary seasonally, from year to year and possibly as a result of construction activities.

#### **Discussion and Recommendations**

Based on the subsurface conditions encountered in the test holes, the recommended foundation types are spread footings and helical piles. Cast-in-place concrete friction piles where the preferred foundation type for the project, however due to the soil stratigraphy consisting primarily of non-cohesive granular soils as opposed to the anticipated alluvial clay, this foundation type is not considered to be feasible.

#### Spread Footings

Rectangular spread footings and continuous strip footings bearing on loose to compact sand can be sized with a Service Limit State (SLS) bearing pressure of 75 kPa and a factored Ultimate Limit State (ULS) bearing pressure of 110 kPa. A resistance factor of 0.5 was used to calculate the factored ULS bearing resistance. Settlement of the footings under service loads are expected to be 25 mm or less. The settlements are expected to occur fairly quickly as the dead load is applied. Some seasonal movement of the footings should be expected.

The footings should be installed 1.8 m below grade and have a minimum width of 760 mm.

The seasonal frost penetration depth in the Winnipeg area is approximately 2.5 m. Spread footings that are installed less than 2.5 m below existing site grade and are subjected to freezing conditions must be protected from potential frost heave effects by placing rigid insulation, such as Styrofoam HI, to minimize frost penetration into the soil around and below the footings. A minimum insulation thickness of 50 mm is recommended. Horizontal insulation sheets should be placed with a minimum soil cover of 500 mm and extend at least 1.2 m out from the perimeter of the building. A vertical sheet of insulation should be installed above the horizontal sheet up to the insulated wall of the building. The vertical insulation should be attached to the exterior wall.

The bearing surfaces should be manually cleaned to remove all loose and deleterious materials from the bearing surface.

The bearing surfaces should be protected at all times from climactic conditions (i.e. freezing, wetting, drying, etc.). The concrete must not be placed on frozen soil and the bearing surfaces should not be allowed to freeze after the footings have been installed. The footing excavations must be maintained in a dry condition at all times.

The footing excavations should be backfilled with the excavated material removed during excavation for the footings. The backfill should be uniformly compacted to 95 percent of the Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD).

#### Helical Screw Piles

Helical screw piles can be considered to support the proposed structure. The pile supplier should determine the screw pile configuration to support the design loads and provide a shop drawing, sealed by a Professional Engineer licensed to practice in the Province of Manitoba, with pile capacity calculations. The test hole information provided in this geotechnical report for the proposed pavilion development can be used by the pile supplier, however it should be recognized that soil conditions are inherently variable and that the test holes were advanced at random locations on the site. Additionally, all configurations should be in adherence to the Information Bulletin 2006-001-S, "Acceptance of Augered Piles" issued by the City

of Winnipeg of May 6, 2016. Load testing of the helical screw piles could be considered to confirm the pile capacity meets the design requirements.

#### Floor Slabs

Floor slabs on grade can be considered. Movements of floor slabs on grade are likely to occur over time, particularly in the three season elements of the facility. Vertical movements on the order of 25 to 50 mm could occur over time. The movements can be differential and are not expected to be uniform across the floor slab. Floor slabs that are in unheated areas could be insulated below and around the slab to minimize frost penetration into the ground and help protect the slab against seasonal movements. The insulation should be placed on the prepared subgrade and extend at least 1.8 m beyond the perimeter of the slab. A minimum soil cover of 400 mm is recommended.

Slab-on-grade floors could be isolated from fixed building components (e.g. grade beams) in an effort to allow for some floor slab movements to occur without affecting the structure. A vapour barrier should be provided below the floor slab. The floor slab should not be placed against frozen soil and should be supported on 200 mm of compacted granular base material placed on a prepared subgrade. The granular base should be a 19 mm down crushed limestone material compacted to 98 percent of the SPMDD. The subgrade should be graded smooth, scarified to a depth of about 150 mm and then uniformly re-compacted to 95 percent of the SPMDD at the optimum moisture content.

#### Fire Pit and Barbeque Stations

Provided the fire pit and barbeque stations can tolerate some seasonal movement, they can be supported on a slab on grade foundation. The slab should not be placed against frozen soil and should be supported on 200 mm of compacted granular base material placed on a prepared subgrade. The granular base should be a 19 mm down crushed limestone material compacted to 98 percent of the Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD). The subgrade should be graded smooth, scarified to a depth of about 150 mm and then uniformly re-compacted to 95 percent of the SPMDD at the optimum moisture content.

#### **Excavations**

All excavation work should be completed by the Contractor in accordance with the current Manitoba Workplace Health and Safety Regulations to suit the planned and expected construction activities and schedule.

### <u>Other</u>

Positive drainage should be provided away from all structures at gradients of at least 2 percent.

We recommend that the potential for sulphate attack be considered as moderate (Exposure Class S-3). All concrete in contact with clay soil should be made with sulphate resistance cement (Type HS) in accordance with the Building Code and relevant CSA standards.

A 100 mm thick void form should be provided beneath all grade beams.

#### <u>Closure</u>

This report and its findings were prepared based on the subsurface conditions encountered in the random test holes drilled on 9 March 2016 for the sole purpose of this geotechnical investigation and our understanding of the proposed development at the time of this report. Subsurface conditions are inherently variable and should be expected to vary across the site.

This report was prepared for the sole and exclusive use of the City of Winnipeg for the proposed pavilion development in Crescent Drive Park located in Winnipeg, Manitoba. The information and recommendations contained in this report are for the benefit of the City of Winnipeg only and no other party or entity shall have any claim against the author nor may this report be used for any other projects, including but not limited to changes in this proposed development without the consent of the author. The findings and recommendations in this report have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is provided.

Please contact us if we can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

### **DYREGROV ROBINSON INC.**

Henry Papst, P.Eng. (Alberta) Geotechnical Engineer

Gil Robinson, M.Sc., P.Eng. President / Senior Geotechnical Engineer







## **APPENDIX A**

Test Hole Logs

## **EXPLANATION OF TERMS & SYMBOLS**

						TH Log Symbols (	USCS	Laboratory Classification Criteria					
							Classification	Fines (%)	Grading	Plasticity	Notes		
			CLEAN GRAVELS	Well graded gravels, sandy gravels, with little or no fines		220	GW	0-5	C <sub>U</sub> > 4 1 < C <sub>C</sub> < 3				
	GRAVE (More the 50% of the second	RAVELS lore than 50% of coarse action of gravel size)	AVELS ore than 0% of	VELS e than % of	(Little or no fines)	Poorly grade sandy gravel or no f	Poorly graded gravels, sandy gravels, with little or no fines		GP	0-5	Not satisfying GW requirements		Dual symbols if 5-
AINED SOILS	fraction grave size)		DIRTY GRAVELS	Silty gravels, grave	silty sandy els		GM	> 12		Atterberg limits below "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <4	12% fines. Dual symbols if above "A" line and		
			(With some fines)	Clayey grave sandy g	els, clayey ravels		GC	> 12		Atterberg limits above "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <7	4 <w<sub>P&lt;7</w<sub>		
ARSE GR			CLEAN SANDS	Well graded sands, gravelly sands, with littl or no fines		0::0 0:0:0 0:0:0	SW	0-5	C <sub>U</sub> > 6 1 < C <sub>C</sub> < 3		$C_{U} = rac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$		
COAI	SANDS (More than 50% of coarse fraction of sand size)	DS than of	(Little or no fines)	Poorly grade gravelly sand or no f	ed sands, s, with little ines		SP	0-5	Not satisfying SW requirements		$C_C = \frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} x D_{60}}$		
		se n of ize)	DIRTY SANDS	Silty sa NRTY sand-silt r			SM	> 12		Atterberg limits below "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <4			
			(With some fines)	Clayey s sand-clay	sands, mixtures		SC	> 12		Atterberg limits above "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <7			
	SILTS (Below 'A' line negligible organic content)		W <sub>L</sub> <50	Inorganic sil clayey fine s slight pla	ts, silty or ands, with asticity		ML						
			W <sub>L</sub> >50	Inorganic silts of h plasticity			МН						
SOILS	CLAY	/S	W <sub>L</sub> <30	Inorganic c clays, sand low plasticity,	lays, silty y clays of lean clays		CL						
BRAINED	(Above) line negligil organ	ible	30 <w<sub>L&lt;50</w<sub>	W <sub>L</sub> <50 Inorganic clays clays of me plasticity			CI			Classification is Based upon Plasticity Chart			
FINE 0	conter	nt)	W <sub>L</sub> >50	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays			СН						
	ORGAN SILTS	NIC 3 & (S	W <sub>L</sub> <50	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity			OL						
	(Below line)	/ 'A' )	W <sub>L</sub> >50	Organic clays of high plasticity			ОН						
н	IIGHLY C	LY ORGANIC SOILS		Peat and other highly organic soils			Pt	V Classi	on Post fication Limit	Strong colour or odour, and often fibrous texture			
		Asphalt		GI		acial Till		Bedrock (Igneous)					
		Concrete		Cla		ay Shale		B (Lin	edrock nestone)	DYREGROV ROBINSON INC. CONSULTING GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERS			
×	$\bigotimes$	Fill						Bedrock (Undifferentiated)					



#### TERMS and SYMBOLS

Laboratory and field tests are identified as follows:

Unconfined Comp.: undrained shear strength (kPa or psf) derived from unconfined compression testing.

Torvane: undrained shear strength (kPa or psf) measured using a Torvane

Pocket Pen.: undrained shear strength (kPa or psf) measured using a pocket penetrometer.

**Unit Weight** bulk unit weight of soil or rock (kN/m<sup>3</sup> or pcf).

**SPT – N** Standard Penetration Test: The number of blows (N) required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split barrel sampler 300 mm into the soil using a 63.5 kg hammer with a free fall drop height of 760 mm.

- **DCPT** Dynamic Cone Penetration Test. The number of blows (N) required to drive a 50 mm diameter cone 300 mm into the soil using a 63.5 kg hammer with a free fall drop height of 760 mm.
- M/C insitu soil moisture content in percent
- PL Plastic limit, moisture content in percent
- LL Liquid limit, moisture content in percent

The undrained shear strength (Su) of cohesive soil is related to its consistency as follows:

Su (kPa)	Su (psf)	CONSISTENCY
<12	250	very soft
12 – 25	250 – 525	soft
25 – 50	525 – 1050	firm
50 – 100	1050 – 2100	stiff
100 – 200	2100 – 4200	very stiff
200	4200	hard

The SPT - N of non-cohesive soil is related to compactness condition as follows:

N – Blows / 300 mm	COMPACTNESS
0 - 4	very loose
4 - 10	loose
10 - 30	compact
30 - 50	dense
50 +	very dense

#### **References:**

ASTM D2487 - Classification of Soils For Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System)

Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual, 4th Edition, Canadian Geotechnical Society, 2006

[	PROJECT: Crescent Drive Park Pavilion         CLIENT: City of Winnipeg         TEST HOLE NO: 1									OLE NO: 1	
ļ	LOCA	TION	: North	of existing washroom fa	cility				PROJECT NO.: 163939		
(	CONT	RAC	TOR: P	addock Drilling Ltd.	METHOD: RM - 3	30 Drill Rig with 2	125 mm Solid Stem Auger	S 🗌	ELEVA	FION (m):	
Ë	SAMP	<u>'LE I'</u>		GRAB							
ľ	BACK	FILL		BENTONITE			GROUT				
	ATION (m)	TH (m)	SYMBOL		SOIL D	ESCRIPTIC	DN		NPLE #	◆ SPT N blows/300mm ◆ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 ■ Unit Weight kN/m <sup>3</sup>	
	ELEV	DEI	SOIL	SAND AND GRAVE	L (FILL) - brown, n				SAMI	12 14 16 18 20 22 24 LL M/C (%) PL ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
		1		SAND (alluvial) - sil - light brown - loose to compact,	ty dry				G1	<b>9</b>	
		-2							X S2		
		4	60606060606 60606060606 60606060606	- sand moist below	4.6 m				S3 S4		
	SAND (alluvial) - claye				ayey loose						
JGUST 2, 2013.GDT 27/5/16		-7			- grey below 7.3 m					G5	
		-9		- suspected clay lay	er approximately 1	50 mm thick a	t 9.1 m		G7 G8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
CH PLOTS-AUGUST 2013 163939		11		END OF TEST HOL NOTES: 1. After drilling hole 2. Test hole backfill 3. Ground frozen to	E AT 11.2 m IN SA open to 6.1 m from ed with auger cuttir a depth of 0.8 m a	AND n top of hole an ngs and bentor t time of drilling	nd no seepage. nite. g.		59	·····	
OTEC	D	YR	EGR	OV ROBINS			LOGGED BY: WG		COMPLE	TION DEPTH: 11.16 m	
RH GE	Co	nsul	ting (	Geotechnical Engi	neers		PROJECT FNGINFFR' Gil	Robinson		Page 1 of 1	
ш			-						1		

LIQLATION: 2 m orth and 3 m west of south yest correct pad       PROLECT No: 16393         SAMPLE TYPE       GRAB       IISHELPT TAB:       Solid Reg with 125 mm Solid Sem Augus       ELEVATION (m):         SAMPLE TYPE       GRAB       GRAB       IISHELPT TAB:	PROJECT: Crescent Drive Park Pavilion CLIENT: City of Winnipeg TEST HOLE NO: 2										
CONTRACTOR: Paddox Johning Lut. METHOD: RVI. 32 ONI Big with 125 mm Said Steim Augers SMPLE TYPE Basemonia: Contract Stein Stein Augers Basemonia: Contract Stein St	LOCATION: 2 m north and 3 m west of south west corner of concrete pad								PROJECT NO.: 163939		
SAMPLE TYPE       BAVA       BALK       Correctorer       Correctorer       Correctorer       Correctorer       Correctorer       SAMP         BOCFUL TYPE       BENTONTE       GRAVEL       Correctorer       Correctorer       SAMP         Image: Correctorer       GraveL       Correctorer       Correctorer       SAMP         Image: Correctorer       GraveL       Correctorer       Correctorer       SAMP         Image: Correctorer       Solution       Correctorer       Solution       Image: Correctorer       Solution         Image: Correctorer       Solution       Solution       Solution       Image: Correctorer       Solution       Image: Correctorer       Solution       Image: Correctorer	CONTRACTOR: Paddock Drilling Ltd. METHOD: RM - 30 Drill Rig with 125 mm Solid Stem Auge								ELEVAT	FION (m):	
BACKFILL TYPE       SENTONTE       GRAVEL       SOUL DESCRIPTION       Image: Construction of the sentone of the senton	SAMF	PLE T	YPE	GRAB	SHELBY TUBE	SPLIT SPOC		<b>∠</b> NO	RECOVER		
University         Soil DESCRIPTION         University           1         Soil DESCRIPTION         0.0	BACK	FILL	TYPE	BENTONITE	GRAVEL	SLOUGH	GROUT	Ωcu	TTINGS	SAND	
Image: Consulting Geotechnical Engineers       Image: Consulting Consu	ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL D	ESCRIPTIC	Ν		SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE #	◆ SPT N blows/300mm ◆ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 ■ Unit Weight kN/m <sup>3</sup> ■ 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 LL M/C (%) PL	
DYREGROV ROBINSON INC.       LOGGED BY: WG       COMPLETION DEPTH: 5.03 m         REVIEWED BY: GR       COMPLETION DATE: 9/3/16         PROJECT ENGINEER: Gil Robinson       Page 1 of 1		1		SAND AND GRAVEL CLAY (alluvial) - silty - black, moist - high plasticity SAND (alluvial) - clay - brown, moist, loose END OF TEST HOLE NOTES: 1. After drilling no set 2. Test hole backfilled 3. Ground frozen to a	(FILL) - brown, m ey AT 5.0 m IN SAN epage or sloughing d with auger cuttin depth of 0.8 m at	ND g observed. gs and benton time of drilling	te.		G13		
	D	<b>YR</b> Insul	EGR	OV ROBINSC	ON INC.		Logged by: Wg Reviewed by: Gr Project Engineer: Gil	Robinson	COMPLE	TION DEPTH: 5.03 m TION DATE: 9/3/16 Page 1 of 1	

PROJECT: Crescent Drive Park Pavilion CLIENT: City of Winnipeg TES								ST H	OLE NO: 3			
L	LOCATION: 7.5 m south from existing concrete pad									PROJECT NO.: 163939		
С	CONTRACTOR: Paddock Drilling Ltd. METHOD: RM - 30 Drill Rig					30 Drill Rig with 12	25 mm Solid Stem Augers		ELE	VAT	TION (m):	
S	SAMPLE TYPE GRAB SHELBY TUBE					SPLIT SPOC	DN BULK	NO	RECO	OVER		
B						AVEL SLOUGH GROUT			CUTTINGS SAND			
	ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL		SOIL [	DESCRIPTIO	N		SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE #	◆ SPT N blows/300mm ◆ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 ■ Unit Weight kN/m <sup>3</sup> ■ 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 LL M/C (%) PL 40 20 20 € 50 00 70	
		-1 -2 -3		TOPSOIL - organics SAND (alluvial) - silt - brown, dry, loose SAND (alluvial) - cla - brown, moist, loos - thin layers of clay v END OF TEST HOL NOTES: 1. After drilling no se 2. Test hole backfille 3. Ground frozen to	s, black ty e to compact within the sand E AT 3.5 m IN SA eepage or sloughin ed with auger cutti a depth of 0.6 m a	ND ng observed. ngs and benton at time of drilling	ite.			, S17 S18		
-AUGUST 2013 163939_GINT.GPJ DATA TEMPLATE - AUGUST 2, 2013.GDT 27/5/16												
BH GEOTECH PLO	DY Con	<b>RI</b> nsult	E <b>GR</b> ting (	OV ROBINS	ON INC. neers	-	Logged by: Wg Reviewed by: Gr Project Engineer: Gil Ro	binson	CON	ЛРLE ЛРLE	TION DEPTH: 3.51 m TION DATE: 9/3/16 Page 1 of 1	