



315-2023 ADDENDUM 1

PROVISION OF TRAFFIC COUNT AND COLLISION DATA SYSTEM

URGENT

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE BID/PROPOSAL

ISSUED: 2024-08-27
BY: Rob Poapst
TELEPHONE NO. 431-276-1166

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Template Version: Add 2024-02-01

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

FORM N: REQUIREMENTS

Replace: 315-2023 Form N: Requirements with 315-2023 Addendum 1 - Form N: Requirements. The following is a summary of changes incorporated in the replacement Bid/Proposal Submission:

Form N(R1): revised requirement ID FR-4.2.10 and FR-4.2.20.

PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

Revise: B2.1 to read: The Submission Deadline is 12:00 noon Winnipeg time, Thursday, September 12th, 2024.

PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

Revise: D2.6(a) to read: The collision data contains one record per collision claimant. Common attributes such as collision configuration, severity, time of day, road surface condition, and contributing factors, among others, are included.

PART E – SPECIFICATIONS

Revise E2.4 to read: Ongoing Cost: Year 2 Hosting Services - including but not limited to the cost of add-ons, patches, Maintenance/Support – annual per-user, support, annual subscription, hosting, server, licensing cost, and ongoing services.

Revise E2.5 to read: Ongoing Cost: Year 3 Hosting Services - including but not limited to the cost of add-ons, patches, Maintenance/Support – annual per-user, support, annual subscription, hosting, server, licensing cost, and ongoing services.

Revise E2.6 to read: Ongoing Cost: Year 4 Hosting Services - including but not limited to the cost of add-ons, patches, Maintenance/Support – annual per-user, support, annual subscription, hosting, server, licensing cost, and ongoing services.

APPENDICES

Add: Appendix I: MPI Collision Data Dictionary

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1: Can the City elaborate on what is meant by “automatically conduct” in the context of this requirement? (i.e. Is this meant to be as a continuous screening on any new data processed into the system or On demand or click as presented in a UI or something else?)

A1: Automatically is referring to the on-demand calculation of statistics for network screening as required by an analyst. The intention is for network screening to be done through the system annually and then for an analyst to be able to pull up specific results as required for any given purpose.

Q2: Can the City elaborate on the mechanism by which the vendor will receive data from the City’s MS SQL Server database? (i.e. will this be an API such as REST or SOAP, data files exported from the server and manually loaded into the solution, data files automatically sent or retrieved such as via SFTP, SSH, Bash Scripts)

A2: RFP Form N has been amended to correctly identify the database as MY SQL being hosted on a Linux(Ubuntu) server rather than using MS SQL Server.

The Proponent will have to write a bash/php script to import data into their system, or the City can use a script to export data and send it to the Proponents server via SFTP.

Q3: Are there any other file formats other than those provided as examples or from recorders as noted?

A3: Yes, we also collect length-based classification data from Jamar Black CAT II portable radar devices. These files are .snj type, similar to Appendix C.

Q4: Can the City confirm the contract period over which the \$200,000 CAD budget applies?

A4: The budget does not apply to the optional three (3) year extension.

Q5: What Safety Group level (pg 53. https://www.aashtoware.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/FY-2025-AASHTOWare-Catalog_web.pdf) would the City consider themselves for consideration of the AASHTOWARE Safety SaaS solution for this proposal?

A5: The City will not be providing this information at this time without a detailed discussion with Numetric/AASHTOWare representatives. We ask the Proponent to make the required assumptions and state them in their proposal.

Q6: In D2.6.c, will the City continue to geolocate collision records based on three street name fields? What is the City’s expectation for the 20% that cannot be geolocated in reference to improvements that can be made. Is this work the City is doing or work expected of the vendor?

A6: The City will be leading this work, and if the Proponent’s system can provide assistance, we will accept it.

Q7: In (d), what other data sharing requirements or Terms of User are being discussed or considered? (i.e. data hosted by MPI and available for analysis only to a 3rd party vendor via API or hosting requirements such as certifications, specifications, or other IT-related requirements of the environment or software).

A7: In addition to the City’s IT-related requirements, the Proponent may be required to host information within Canadian cloud environments.

Q8: Has the City considered splitting this RFP into two solutions, one for Traffic Count Data and another for Collision Data with integration requirements?

A8: No, this is not being considered at this time.

Q9: A “software subscription” and a “perpetual licensing of software” are distinctly different approaches to software licensing with different implications to cost, ownership, and support. Can the City please confirm whether this RFP is for a software subscription or a perpetual licensing of software?

A9: This RFP is for a software subscription. As per above, the RFP has been amended to reduce this confusion.

Q10: What is the City's estimated Notice to Proceed date?

A10: The number of proposals we receive will determine how long the review process will take.