



669-2023 ADDENDUM 3

DATA CENTRE COLOCATION SERVICES

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
BID/PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: June 10th 2024
BY: Mark Doornbos
EMAIL: mdoornbos@winnipeg.ca

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Add 2024-02-01

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1: A2-R5 - . In order to ensure the bid process is equal between all participants, we would suggest a minimum of 30" wide x 48" deep rack with a 42U minimum. This will allow for proper airflow, cooling and room for proper structured cabling. The rack sizes listed in the RFP are far below our typical standard so we want to ensure that we are not penalized from a cost/scoring standpoint because our minimum rack is much larger than the listed required minimum standard. Is Winnipeg able to adjust this requirement?

A1: The rack specifications are minimums. Proponents can provide preferred rack specifications. The Instructions tab within Form N provides guidance on addressing these exceptions.

Q2: A2-R10 - Please provide more context on how this requirement will be evaluated. If possible please provide more specific detail on requirements that Winnipeg would like to see?

A2: The evaluation will be based on how fully and robustly the proposed Proponent is able to meet the listed capabilities in R10. Details to provide should include a high-level description of the capabilities available and some explanation of how they are delivered.

Q3: A2-R11 - Winnipeg has requested a physically diverse path. What level of Physical diversity is required? (Building entrance, hub, complete, etc?). Please specify as depending on the level of diversity required the costs and timelines to implement can vary drastically.

A3: Provision of diverse circuit links that are geographically diverse, have physically separate building entries and fiber cabling to the data centres and are terminated on separate customer premise equipment.

Q4: A2-R13 - How will Winnipeg evaluate bids with Multi-Homed service vs single-homed? There is a significant cost difference in how these 2 options are priced. In our opinion, based on the overall goal for this RFP, we'd believe multi-homed internet should be the default requirement.

A4: While we are looking for options for both single and multi-homed Internet connectivity, provide pricing for multi-homed Internet connection for the purposes of the evaluation.

Q5: A2-R13 - For requirements D & E, is this only applicable to the local DC? If no, for requirement E, does Winnipeg have a Class C or larger they will provide us access to accomplish this?

A5: D and E are only applicable to the local DC.