



RFQ 286-2024A ADDENDUM 1

QUALIFYING TUNNELING CONTRACTORS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE RUTLAND TRUNK SEWER – FERRY ROAD COMBINED SEWER RELIEF – CONTRACT 6

ISSUED: June 21, 2024
BY: Michel Levreault
TELEPHONE NO. 204-954-6927

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
BID/PROPOSAL**

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Add 2024-02-01

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

Revise: B9.1 to read: The City intends to complete the evaluation of the Qualification Submissions by August 7, 2024, and proceed with the issuance of a Tender in October 2024.

Revise: B9.3 to read: The Preliminary Schedule is as follows, subject to change:

Phase	Approximate Date(s)
1. Request for Qualification 286-2024A Submission	July 10, 2024
2. Date of Notification to Successful Proponents (anticipated)	August 7, 2024
3. Issue Tender 286-2024B (anticipated)	October 2024
4. Close Tender 286-2024B (anticipated)	November 2024
5. Award of Project (anticipated)	December 2024
6. Construction (anticipated)	February 2025 – September 2026
7. Completion of restoration and revegetation	June 2027

Revise: B22.1 to read: The Submission Deadline is 4:00 p.m. Winnipeg time, July 10, 2024

APPENDICES

Add: 286-2024A_Appendix_F – Current (May 2024) groundwater levels and estimated dewatering flow requirements at shafts.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1: Based on our assessment of the information provided, we believe that several other non-micro tunnelling trenchless techniques can be employed to successfully construct the project. Would alternative non-microtunneling trenchless methods be accepted for this project?

A1: The suitability of other tunneling methods is under review. Extension of the RFQ closing date has been extended by two weeks to provide time for a further addendum responding to this question and for interested Contractors to prepare materials.

Q2: Would a large diameter tunnel be accepted?

A2: Yes, a larger diameter tunnel would be accepted, subject to the Ness Avenue interference not exceeding the greater of 15% of the proposed land drainage tunnel or 366 mm into the pipe interior.