

## PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FOR BRADY ROAD RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY – AREA B

May 13, 2024 Ash Raichura, P.Eng.

TELEPHONE NO. 204 986 4103

ISSUED:

BY:

**URGENT** 

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE BID/PROPOSAL THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

### PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

 Revise:
 D3.9(j) to read:
 Landfill Gas Collection system

 <a href="https://legacy.winnipeg.ca/waterandwaste/garbage/projects/BradyRoadMethaneGas/default.stm">https://legacy.winnipeg.ca/waterandwaste/garbage/projects/BradyRoadMethaneGas/default.stm</a>

 Revise:
 D5.1.8(a) to read:
 Develop quarterly fill sequencing plans for the first waste disposal cell developed in Area B based on the design developed under D5.1.7, current and projected tonnages,

#### APPENDICES

Replace: Appendix A BRRMF Site Map with Addendum 1 Appendix A BRRMF Site Map

#### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Q1: The RFP (D5.1.2) states a minimum of 20 test holes for the geotechnical design, however, this does not meet the Provincial standard for the size of Area B. Can you confirm that the proponent should budget enough test holes to meet this standard?

A1: Standards for Landfills in Manitoba (D3.9(h)) should be used as the basis for the preliminary design of Area B. The Proponent is expected to use their discretion and expertise to determine the number of test holes required for this work.

current airspace utilization (provided by the City), and required soil cover.

Q2: What is the current perimeter roadway concept that makes up the 1200m (D5.1.5)?

A2: The perimeter roadway concept is to provide access to the waste disposal cells as they are constructed. The extent of roadway to be constructed will depend on the location of the first disposal cell constructed within Area B relative to existing roadways (as well as the available budget, as per D5.1.5(d)).

Q3: Is a Class 1 cost estimate also required as part of the surface water management plan task (D5.1.6)?

A3: A Class 1 cost estimate will be required for the components/sections of the surface water management plan that are tendered for construction with the first waste disposal cell in Area B.

Q4: What is the City's definition of "part-time" contract administration in terms of days and/or hours per week, so that all are bidding on a similar basis (D5.1.9(b)(i))?

A4: Proponents shall use their best judgement for the days and hours required for "part time" resident services required for construction Contract Administration.

Q5: For projects where only one client contact was provided and that person has moved on, can a rationale be provided for not providing two current contacts (B10.2g and B11.3d)?

A5: One client contact is acceptable for reference projects if two are not available.

Q6: What is the City's preferred review timeline?

A6: The City's preferred review timeline depends upon the item/deliverable under review, as well as the nature and extent of review required. For construction Tender documents and Drawings, Proponent's may assume a 2-week review period.

Q7: Will the City's current version of the land drainage model be provided to the successful proponent? Will the City of Winnipeg provide the model database file itself, or is the expectation that the successful proponent is to develop their own model?

A7: The land drainage model output is available and included in the Brady Road Resource Management Facility Master Plan (see D3.10.1(a)). The data on the (PDF) output files provides a good basis for the original surface water management plan design, that the Proponent may use in their own modeling software to complete the work requested in this RFP.

- Q8: The URL for D3.9(i) does not work.
  - A8: Garbage tonnage information available at the link provided under D3.9(i):

< 2015-2023 >					
Year	First Quarter (Jan - Mar) Q1	Second Quarter (Apr - Jun) Q2	Third Quarter (Jul - Sep) Q3	Fourth Quarter (Oct - Dec) Q4	Yearly Tota (tonnes) Total
2023	37,566	48,316	47,081	45,005	177,968
2022	39,085	50,299	50,250	43,166	182,800
2021	41,380	49,202	49,278	45,070	184,930
2020	38,548	56,186	54,464	45,372	194,570
2019	36,250	46,121	48,065	43,614	174,050
2018	36,999	46,584	46,213	42,245	172,041
2017	37,841	46,745	45,800	41,823	172,209
2016	37,280	46,930	47,253	42,817	174,280
2015	36,948	47,293	50,408	43,196	177,845

# Garbage tonnage report

Tonnes of garbage thrown away since 2007

All measurements given in metric tonnes.