Corporate Finance Department

Purchasing Division

495-2024 ADDENDUM 6

PROVISION OF GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) SOLUTION

ISSUED: October 29, 2024
BY: Andre Lederer
TELEPHONE NO.   204 803-0398

URGENT

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE BID/PROPOSAL

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS
Template Version: Add 2024-02-01

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1:   Form N, Requirement #11 states using a picklist sourced from the AMANDA software. Is the City looking for the contents of the picklist to be populated directly from AMANDA via a live integration or will a one-time configuration of a picklist in the solution using the picklist from AMANDA as a reference satisfy the requirement?

A1:   Correction: in your question, you are referring to requirement #11. It should be requirement #13.

The requirement #13 should read as:

The Solution should provide the ability to draw street segments and intersection nodes using a picklist, which is populated manually using data from an external system such as AMANDA.

Q2:   Form N, Requirement #15 states the ability to validate that historic address points do not have labels associated with them. Does this mean that a historic (retired) address would not be labelled on a map but the data would still be contained in the spatial dataset? Can the City provide more details regarding this requirement?

A2:   Yes, this does mean that a historic (retired) address would not be labelled on a map but the data would still be contained in the spatial dataset. Furthermore, for each assessment parcel there is an address point that contains address information. Address number labels are created using the data from the address point. One address label is placed within the parcel and another address label is placed just outside the parcel in the right-of-way. When a civic address is no longer in use, the address point is made Historic and the associated annotations are manually removed (sometimes the annotation is not removed due to user error). We currently have a process to identify any civic address that has been made historic but where the associated labels have not been removed.

Q3:   Form N, Requirement #83 states "The Solution should allow Users to perform a reverse selection of features in a map window (e.g. address points) or records in a data window with a revise selection tool". Should it read "The Solution should allow Users to perform a reverse selection of features in a map window (e.g. address points) or records in a data window with a reverse selection tool"?

A3:   Yes, it should read "The Solution should allow Users to perform a reverse selection of features in a map window (e.g. address points) or records in a data window with a reverse selection tool.