



671-2024 ADDENDUM 1

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR ROUTE 90 IMPROVEMENTS ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY VALUE FOR MONEY STUDY

ISSUED: October 23, 2024
BY: Cameron Ward
TELEPHONE NO. 204 805-0292

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
BID/PROPOSAL**

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Add 2024-02-01

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

- Add: B9.3 Refer to B15.7 for additional information regarding the composition of the Proponent and Subconsultants.
- Add: B15.7 The Proponent and/or any proposed Subconsultant shall not include firms directly engaged in the construction industry as general contractors and/or constructors (“Contractors”).

PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

- Revise: D7.1 to read: Conduct a market sounding to assess the industry’s capacity, capability, and readiness for all possible delivery methods. Obtain authorization from the City prior to communicating with firms or personnel from the construction industry.
- Revise: D7.6 to read: Develop a shortlist of three to four delivery methods to advance to the assessment and evaluation stage. Obtain City concurrence on shortlist delivery methods.
- Revise: D7.7 to read: Assess the shortlisted project delivery methods (traditional DBB and alternative delivery methods) with respect to factors including but not limited to their:
- Revise: D8.1(b) Using the selected decision-making methodology and in consultation with the City, perform the qualitative evaluation of shortlisted delivery methods and identify the most advantageous delivery method. Document all decision-making inputs, assumptions, and rationale as to selection of weighting, scores assigned, etc.
- Revise: D8.2(a) Perform quantitative assessment (Value for Money analysis) for shortlisted delivery methods. The purpose of the Value for Money analysis is to demonstrate feasibility of the alternative project delivery methods relative to the traditional DBB method.
- Add: D8.5 If at any time during the study the City determines that input from firms or personnel in the construction industry (“Contractors”) is required, then the City may authorize the Proponent to engage with Contractors on specific study elements. This may include collaborative efforts such as stakeholder meetings, constructability workshops, etc. Proponents shall not collaborate with Contractors without prior authorization from the City. Contractors shall not be included in the Proponent’s team, refer to B15.7.

Q1: We, a Professional Consulting Services firm, have been asked to join a team for the Route 90 Value for Money Study to provide technical input for the assignment. If our team is awarded the Study, will this preclude us from future Route 90 assignments (i.e. Owner's Engineer, design and contract administration for a traditional design-bid-build delivery, or participation on an alternative project delivery team)?

A1: Professional Consulting Services firms (including financial/advisory and engineering consultants) participating in the Route 90 Value for Money Study will not be excluded from potential future phases of the project.

Q2: We are considering including bridge and road contractors on our team to assist in developing/reviewing project schedules and costs that are realistic and reflect local market conditions. If a contractor is part of the team, are they also allowed to bid on future Route 90 Improvement projects and/or participate on alternative project delivery teams?

A2: Refer to B15.7 and D8.5.