Page 1 of 3

INSTALLATION OF GROUND HOIST REPLACEMENT PHASE 3

ISSUED:

BΥ

URGENT

Winnipeg

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE BID/PROPOSAL THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

February 14, 2025 Drew Murray

TELEPHONE NO. 204 986-2492

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

Revise: B.2 to read: The Submission Deadline is 12:00 noon Winnipeg time, February 25.

PART D - SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

Remove: D32.3

NMS SPECIFICATIONS

Section 03 10 00 - Concrete Forming and Accessories.

Revise Section 2.02.4. Void Forms item .1 Paper Forms from 200mm thickness to be 150mm thickness.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- Q1: Is the Building Permit by contractor or owner?
- A1: Contractor to obtain and pay for Building Permit.
- Q2: Is a Development Permit required?
- A2: Development Permit not required.
- Q3: Please clarify who is supplying the hoist equipment. If supply is not part of this contract, when and where will the hoists be available to the contractor? If supplied by others, is installation by others as well, or by contractor?

Tender No. 4B880-2024Addendum 3 Page 2 of 3

- A3: Stertil-Koni Cassette based Hoists are being supplied in separate contract. They will be received in Winnipeg about March, 2025 and will be stored for installation at a to be determined location by Winnipeg Transit. The contractor for this tender will be required to place and install the hoist frames, and subsequent services per the drawing set (for example, levelling grout, conduit, incoming utility services, etc). Equipment supplier to provide start up and commissioning services for the hoist assembly only.
- Q4: Drawings indicated 150mm voidform however spec section 03 10 00 asks for 200mm. Please clarify which is required?
- A4: 150mm voidform is acceptable.
- Q5: What is the elevation of the existing pit floors?
- A5: Existing large pit slab is 2336.8mm below top of main floor and is 381mm thick as per existing drawings. Existing Small pit slab is 2724.15mm below top of main floor and is 609.6mm as per existing drawings. These elevations should be site confirmed.
- Q6: What is the height to u/s of joists in the work area?
- A6: According to the 1967 existing building drawings, there is 26 feet to u/s of steel deck, steel joists are approximately 7 feet deep.
- Q7: What is the depth required for the pile extensions?
- A7: Existing piles should be at u/s of existing pit slabs. Elevations given in above question 5 answer.
- Q8: How much de-watering was required on the past phases of this project? Was there significant water?
- A8: On Phase 1, there appeared to be constant ground water pumping into the existing oil / water separator interceptor pits. On Phase 2 there was one corner area that needed to be pumped out. Phase 3 work should be shallower with less excavation.
- Q9: Detail J/S302 has a note to provide "galvanized angles all around trench pits." Does this apply to all sides or just the sides affected by work as shown?
- A9: Just the sides affected by the work.
- Q10: Spec Section 03 35 13 item 3.04 lists floor hardener for "New hoist pit concrete floors". Does this refer only to the floor within the pit? Or all floors around the pit including S1 and S2?
- A10: Floor Hardner to be applied to all S1 & S2 concrete slabs at main floor level.
- Q11: Confirming the Unistrut drop down rack is required to be painted (powder coated) with an epoxy paint (green quard), is the coating required?
- A11: The Unistrut Rack itself is not required to be green-guarded Unistrut, only the attachment at the concrete surface is to be done utilizing epoxy coated strut. If a 4-post base is used for each support the post base could be coated with green guard or ordered as such.
- Q12: The drawings call for 2 x ³/₄" PVC conduits in the slab located in a Zone 2 location, PVC conduits cannot be sealed for explosion proof fittings, should threaded rigid conduit be used in lieu of the specified PVC conduit?

Tender No. 4B880-2024Addendum 3 Page 3 of 3

A12: The requirement of the PVC conduit in slab may be revised to be rigid galvanized steel if acceptable to the authority having jurisdiction, or explosion proof electrical non-metallic conduit such as C-Tube CT-ENTB.