



## 98-2025 ADDENDUM 3

# PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR GWWD RAILWAY MILE 41.3 BRIDGE REPLACEMENT

### **URGENT**

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO  
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE  
BID/PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: March 4, 2025  
BY: Connor Fedorchuk  
TELEPHONE NO. 431-294-7868

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED  
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM  
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Add 2024-02-01

---

**Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.**

---

### **PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS**

Add: D11.3.1(d)(i): Conduct a heritage screening for the Site by completing and submitting for review an Archaeological Assessment Services Screening Request Form with Manitoba Historic Resources Branch.

### **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Q1: Has a heritage assessment or heritage screening already been completed for the Site?

A1: A heritage assessment or heritage screening has not been completed for the Site, see added clause D11.3.1(d)(i) above for clarification.

Q2: The RFP asks for a lead hydraulic engineer to be identified for the project (B11.2), and in D.11.1 states that the detailed design shall be based on reports listed - one of which is a hydrological and hydraulic analysis that specifies the length and soffit elevation of the bridge. No scope is defined for the hydraulic engineer, can the City please clarify what is the expected hydraulic scope for the project?

A2: The detailed design Consultant shall be responsible for the final hydraulic design conditions and therefore it is the Consultant's responsibility to determine the extent of the hydraulic scope required based on the final design.