



125-2026 ADDENDUM 2

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR WEWPCC PONDS WATER QUALITY UPGRADE

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
BID/PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: March 17, 2026
BY: Michelle Paetkau, M.Sc, P.Eng
TELEPHONE NO. 204 986-4904

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Addendum 2026-03-10

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

Add: D11.2(h) WEWPCC Facility Plan TM 11 Liquid Facility Upgrade Objectives Screening
Add: D11.2(i) WEWPCC Facility Plan TM 13 Decision Making Analysis
Add: D11.2(j) WEWPCC Facility Plan TM 14 Capital Implementation Plan

DRAWINGS

Add: 4B125-2026 _Drawing_ WEP-40
Add: 4B125-2026 _Drawing_ WEP-49

NOTE TO BIDDERS: QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS BELOW DO NOT FORM PART OF THE CONTRACT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- Q1: Do the WEWPCC technical memo's 11, 13 and 14 contain information that the City has already accepted in terms of baffling and disinfection solutions? If so, can this information be shared such that we are not replicating work as part of this scope that has already been reviewed and accepted by the City.
- A1: The City has not yet accepted or has currently planned baffling, disinfection solutions, nor flow equalization solutions. All such decisions are delayed until after this scope of work. The referenced technical memo's are now available as part of D11 for reference.
- Q2: Is there any physical reason why a building in the location just north of the distribution chamber would be infeasible?
- A2: The distribution chamber referenced at the site meeting is circled on City drawings WEP-40 with further detail shown on WEP-49. This site has not been investigated for this purpose but could be considered as part of the scope of work. However any future recommended location(s), including but not limited to this site, would have to be verified as feasible as part of that scope of work. For the distribution chamber, for example, there is a drainage ditch north of the site that would have to be taken into consideration and any impacts to it would have to be mitigated.